

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_116513
Title of the Manuscript:	A Weighted Voting Approach for Face Recognition without Changes in Illumination
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community as it presents a comprehensive study on the implementation of a real-time face recognition system using the Viola-Jones method. The manuscript provides detailed insights into the hardware and software components used, the methodology employed, experimental results, and discussions on the limitations and costs of the project. By addressing various aspects of face recognition technology, including dataset generation, training, and face tracking, the manuscript contributes valuable knowledge to the field of computer vision and biometric systems. Furthermore, the comparison of results and discussions on accuracy improvements demonstrate the manuscript's relevance for researchers and practitioners in the area of facial recognition technology.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article, "A Weighted Voting Approach for Face Recognition without Changes in Illumination," is suitable as it accurately reflects the main focus of the research, which is the development of a face recognition approach resilient to changes in illumination.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article appears comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, the manuscript's subsections and structure appear appropriate.</p> <p>5. Based on the information provided, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. It presents a methodological approach to face recognition that is theoretically sound and grounded in established principles of computer vision and image processing.</p> <p>6. The references provided in the manuscript cover a range of relevant literature in the field of face recognition and computer vision. They include both seminal works and recent research articles, which contribute to the credibility and context of the study. However, to enhance the comprehensiveness of the references, it may be beneficial to include more recent sources, especially those published within the last 5 years, to ensure that the manuscript reflects the latest advancements in the field. Additionally, including references from a diverse range of sources such as peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, and reputable books can further strengthen the manuscript's scholarly foundation.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript presents a significant contribution to the field of face recognition technology, particularly in addressing the challenge of illumination changes.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhimas Tribuana
Department, University & Country	Handayani University, Indonesia