

Analysis of Fish Cultivation Productivity in the Bandung City through BuruanSae Program

ABSTRACT

This research aims to analyze the production and productivity of fish cultivation in the BuruanSae Program in Bandung City. Apart from the socio-economic characteristics of program implementers, there are several conditions and obstacles experienced by program implementers as complementary research data. The research method used is the case study method. This research was conducted in three groups of the BuruanSae Program. The implementation will be carried out in October 2023 – March 2024. The respondents in this research were 46 people who were program implementers from three groups of the BuruanSae Program. This research applies a saturated sampling method or census. The analytical method in this research uses quantitative descriptive analysis. The results showed that the WarnasariMandiri Group produced 210 kg of fish, while the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group and the Belpas 15 Group produced 10 kg and 15 kg of fish respectively. WarnasariMandiri Group with fish cultivation productivity per container of 0.2 kg/year/liter. Meanwhile, the Jasmine Integrated Farm and Belpas 15 groups fish cultivation productivity per container is 0.05 and 0.07 kg/year/liter. The R/C Ratio for the WarnasariMandiri Group is 1.14, Jasmine Integrated Farm is 2.33, and Belpas 15 is 1.25. Based on the results of the analysis, it can be concluded that the fish production of the WarnasariMandiri Group, the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group, and the Belpas 15 Group is in the medium category. The WarnasariMandiri group has the highest fish cultivation productivity per container, while the Jasmine Integrated Farm and Belpas 15 groups have lower fish cultivation productivity. Overall, the R/C Ratio of the three groups shows favorable results, namely greater than 1.

Keywords: Production, Productivity, Socio-Economic Characteristics, BuruanSae Program

1. INTRODUCTION

In order for humans to survive, the need for food is very basic. According to the 1945 Constitution of Indonesia, the state is responsible for maintaining food sovereignty, meaning ensuring that all people have access to sufficient, safe, high-quality and nutritionally balanced food. This concept includes key factors such as food availability, distribution and consumption. Food security refers to a system consisting of many different subsystems, including aspects such as availability, distribution and consumption. The main objective of this system is to ensure the stability of food supply, distribution and community access to food as well as food management at the household level, including food preparation, menus and food distribution in the home environment[1].

To prevent food shortages, guarantee food security, and ensure adequate food logistics, the government's role in developing regulations that support food security is very important. The Mayor of Bandung and his team through the Bandung City Food Security and Agriculture Service responded by launching an urban farming program called "Buruan SAE (*Sehat, Alami, Ekonomis*) (it means natural, healthier, economical)". The implementation of this

32 program is based on the Bandung Mayor's Circular regarding the implementation of
33 integrated urban farming activities. BuruanSae is designed as a community empowerment
34 program to help people become more independent in producing their own food needs. This
35 is expected to make food consumption healthier, natural and economical, while creating
36 positive environmental awareness[2].

37 Implementation of Budikdamber's idea is part of efforts to build infrastructure and provide
38 facilities for each BuruanSae group. Budikdamber is a fish cultivation technique that uses an
39 aquaponics system in a container such as a bucket or bathtub[3]. This approach makes it
40 possible to grow crops and raise fish simultaneously, thereby meeting the need for vegetable
41 and animal protein in one place and optimizing space or land use[4]. Budikdamber is
42 considered a potential solution for the future of agriculture and fisheries, especially in areas
43 with limited water and land resources. Budikdamber can be applied effectively in various
44 locations such as housing, urban areas, apartments, rental houses, and refugee centers[5].
45 In the budikdamber activity area in Pungkur Village, Regol District, Bandung City, it shows
46 that the majority of implementers of fish farming activities in buckets experienced failure in
47 the initial stages of fish farming activities in buckets. This is due to a lack of understanding
48 by budikdamber implementers regarding how to raise fish, including a lack of attention to
49 water conditions and excessive feeding, which resulted in around 300 fish fry dying[6]. If we
50 refer to the concept of food security which explains that food must be safe, healthy and
51 nutritious and that is also in accordance with the objectives of the BuruanSae Program, it is
52 necessary to analyze the production and productivity of fish farming and other problems
53 found in the research location.

54 55 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

56 57 **2.1 Time and Place**

58 This research was carried out in the WarnasariMandiri Group located in AntapaniKidul
59 Village, Antapani District, Jasmine Integrated Farm Group located in Antapani Tengah
60 Village, and the Belpas 15 Group located in Sadang Serang District, Coblong District. The
61 duration of the research will be carried out in October – March 2024.

62 **2.2 Research Methods**

63 The research method used is a case study method using a survey and using a previously
64 prepared questionnaire as a primary data collection tool. This research applies a saturated
65 sampling method or census, where all members of the population are sampled [7].As case
66 units are the implementers of the BuruanSae Program in the WarnasariMandiri Group, the
67 Jasmine Integrated Farm Group, and the Belpas 15 Group.

68 **2.3 Type and Source Data**

69 The data in this research is quantitative data obtained from both primary and secondary
70 sources. Primary data was collected through two methods, namely observation and
71 interviews by filling in questionnaires. The primary data in this research are socio-economic
72 characteristics which include age, education, experience, income, number of family
73 members, and fish production implementing the BuruanSae Program in the
74 WarnasariMandiri Group, Jasmine Integrated Farm Group, and Belpas 15 Group.
75 Meanwhile, secondary data taken from various sources such as literature, journals, research
76 reports, and relevant documents from related agencies to determine the general condition of
77 the group, the number of program group members, and other supporting data.

78 **2.4 Data Analysis Methods**

79 The analysis used to explain the socio-economic characteristics of the families implementing
80 the program and the fish production of the BuruanSae Program are descriptive analysis. For
81 data analysis, fish farming productivity is determined using quantitative analysis. Several
82 analytical tools used in this research are presented as follows:

- 83 1. Fish Cultivation Productivity

$$\Sigma \text{ Productivity (kg/year/m}^3) = \frac{\Sigma \text{ Production (kg/year)}}{\Sigma \text{ Container (liter)}}$$

84 2. R/C Ratio

$$\frac{R}{C} = \frac{\text{Total Revenue (TR)}}{\text{Total Cost (TC)}}$$

85

86

87 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

88

89 3.1 Socio-economic Characteristics

90 The characteristics referred to here are socio-economic characteristics which include age,
91 education, number of family members, income, and experience of members of the hunted
92 sae group, namely members of the WarnasariMandiri Group, the Jasmine Integrated Farm
93 Group, and the Belpas 15 Group.

94 3.1.1 Age

95 The data obtained in the results of this study show quite a variety of ages from the
96 respondents, details of which can be seen in Table 1.

97

Table 1. Respondent's Age

| No | Age | Total (People) | Percentage(%) |
|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Not Yet Productive (<14 years) | 0 people | 0 |
| 2 | Productive (15-64 years) | 42 people | 91,3 |
| 3 | Not Productive (>65 years) | 4 people | 8,7 |
| Total | | 46 people | 100 |

98

99 The distribution of farmers based on the productive age range is divided into three
100 categories, namely the 0-14 year old group who have not yet reached productive age, the
101 15-64 year old group who are productive age group, and the over 65 year old age group who
102 have passed productive age[8]. Based on the data processing in the table, the majority of
103 respondents are in the productive age group (15-64 years) with 42 people, with a percentage
104 reaching 91.3%. The second position was occupied by 4 respondents belonging to the
105 unproductive age group (>65 years), with a percentage of 8.7%. Meanwhile, there were no
106 respondents under 14 years of age, so the percentage was 0%. The total number of
107 respondents was 46 people.

108 3.1.2 Education

109 The data obtained in the results of this research shows quite a variety of education from
110 respondents with details can be seen in Table 2.

111

Table 2. Respondent's Education

| No | Education | Total (People) | Percentage(%) |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Elementary (Elementary/High School) | 12 people | 26,1 |
| 2 | Medium (Senior High School) | 20 people | 43,5 |
| 3 | High (Diploma/Bachelor/ Master) | 14 people | 30,4 |
| Total | | 46 people | 100 |

112

113 Basic education is the initial stage of education which lasts for the first 9 years, consisting of
114 6 years in elementary school (SD) and 3 years in junior high school (SMP). Then, secondary
115 education is a continuation of basic education with a duration of 3 years in senior high school
116 (SMA). Meanwhile, higher education is an advanced stage of secondary education which

117 includes various programs such as diploma, bachelor's, master's, doctoral and specialist
 118 courses held at universities[9]. From the data obtained as shown in the table above, the
 119 majority of respondents in the Buruansae group belong to the secondary education level
 120 (SMA) as many as 20 people, with a percentage reaching 43.5%. Followed by 14
 121 respondents with a higher education level (Diploma/Bachelor/Postgraduate), with a
 122 percentage of 30.4%. Meanwhile, there were 12 respondents with basic education
 123 (SD/SMP), with a percentage of 26.1%.

124 3.1.3 Number of Family Members

125 The data obtained in the results of this research shows quite a variety of respondents' family
 126 members, details of which can be seen in Table 3.

127 **Table 3. Number of Family Members of the Respondent**

| No | Number of Family Members | Total (People) | Percentage(%) |
|--------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Low (1-3 people) | 11 people | 23,9 |
| 2 | Medium (4-6 people) | 35 people | 76,1 |
| 3 | High (>6 people) | 0 people | 0 |
| Total | | 46 people | 100 |

128
 129 The number of family members can be grouped into three categories. First, the low group
 130 (small family) consists of 1-3 people. Second, medium groups consist of 4-6 people. Third,
 131 the high group (large family) consists of more than 6 people[10]. From the data obtained as
 132 shown in the table above, the majority of respondents were 35 people with a percentage of
 133 76.1%, belonging to the medium group with the number of family members between 4 and 6
 134 people. Then there were 11 people with a percentage of 23.9% who were in the low group
 135 (small families), indicating the number of family members ranged from 1 to 3 people. There
 136 were no respondents who belonged to the high group (large family), which means no one
 137 had a family with more than 6 members.

138 3.1.4 Income

139 The data obtained in the results of this research shows quite a variety of respondents'
 140 incomes, details of which can be seen in Table 4.

141 **Table 4. Respondent's Income**

| No | Income | Total (People) | Percentage(%) |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Low (<IDR.1.500.000) | 0 people | 0 |
| 2 | Medium (IDR 1.500.000- 2.500.000) | 25 people | 54,3 |
| 3 | High (IDR 2.500.000-3.500.000) | 16 people | 34,8 |
| 4 | Very High (>IDR.3.500.000) | 5 people | 10,9 |
| Total | | 46 people | 100 |

142
 143 Family income is divided into 4 groups based on the monthly income earned. The first group
 144 is low, with an income of less than IDR 1,500,000. The second group is medium, with an
 145 average income of between IDR 1,500,000 to IDR 2,500,000. The third group is high, with
 146 an average income of between IDR 2,500,000 to IDR 3,500,000. And the last group is very
 147 high, with an income of more than IDR 3,500,000[10].The majority of respondents, 25 people
 148 with a percentage of 54.3%, had a family income in the range of IDR 1,500,000 to IDR
 149 2,500,000. Followed by 16 respondents with a percentage of 34.8% who had a family
 150 income in the range of IDR 2,500,000 to IDR 3,500,000. A total of 5 respondents with a
 151 percentage of 10.9% had a family income of more than IDR 3,500,000, which is classified as
 152 a very high income category. Meanwhile, there were no respondents who had a family
 153 income of less than IDR 1,500,000. These findings provide an overview of the distribution of

154 family income in the BuruanSae group, which is important for understanding the fish
 155 consumption patterns of each family.

156 3.1.5 Experience

157 The data obtained in the results of this research shows the length of experience of
 158 respondents as members of the BuruanSae group, details of which can be seen in Table 5.

159 **Table 5. Respondent's Experience**

| No | Experience | Total (People) | Percentage(%) |
|--------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Less Experienced (<1 year) | 0 people | 0 |
| 2 | Enough (1-2 year) | 0 people | 0 |
| 3 | Experienced (>2 year) | 46 people | 100 |
| Total | | 46 people | 100 |

160
 161 The recorded data regarding the length of experience as a member of the sae hunted group
 162 shows an interesting pattern. There are no members who are classified as less experienced
 163 (<1 year) or quite experienced (1-2 years). On the other hand, all members, namely 46
 164 people or 100%, have experience that can be considered experienced, with more than 2
 165 years of experience. These findings highlight the consistency and dedication of members in
 166 the BuruanSae group over a long period of time. Analysis of these patterns can provide deep
 167 insight into the internal dynamics of the group, as well as strengthen understanding of the
 168 commitment and motivation of members.

169 3.2 Cultivation Profile

170 3.2.1 Seeds and Feed

171 In this research, Sangkuriang catfish seeds were initially scattered into buckets and ponds to
 172 start the cultivation process. In the early stages of fish cultivation, the seeds stocked at the
 173 beginning have different characteristics between the BuruanSae group. The size of the
 174 seeds and the number of seeds stocked at the beginning of the cycle are shown in Table 6.

175 **Table 6. Seeds**

| Group | Seed Size (cm) | Total of Seeds Stocked |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| WarnasariMandiri | 9-10 | 50 fish/bucket 500 fish/pond |
| <i>Jasmine Integrated Farm</i> | 10-12 | 25 fish/bucket |
| Belpas 15 | 5-10 | 50 fish/bucket |

176
 177 The WarnasariMandiri Group uses seeds with an average size of 9-10 cm, while the
 178 Jasmine Integrated Farm Group uses seeds with an average size of 10-12 cm, and the
 179 Belpas 15 Group uses seeds with an average size of 5-10 cm . The number of seeds
 180 stocked also varies between BuruanSae groups. The WarnasariMandiri Group has set a
 181 stocking density of 50 fish/bucket and 500 fish/pond with a total of 15 buckets and a total of
 182 6 ponds. Meanwhile, the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group uses a stocking density of 25
 183 fish/bucket with a total of 10 buckets, and the Belpas Group 15 sets a stocking density of 50
 184 fish/bucket with a total of 10 buckets.

185 There are variations in the type of feed used by each BuruanSae group. The types of feed
 186 used by each BuruanSae group are shown in Table 7.

187 **Table 7. Feed**

| Group | Artificial Feed | Natural Feed |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| WarnasariMandiri | Floating Pellets | Papaya Leaf |
| <i>Jasmine Integrated Farm</i> | Floating Pellets | Maggot |

188
189 The WarnasariMandiri Group, Jasmine Integrated Farm Group, and Belpas 15 Group use
190 floating pellets as the main artificial feed. However, there are differences in the use of natural
191 feed. The WarnasariMandiri Group uses powdered papaya leaves as additional natural feed,
192 the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group uses maggot feed, while the Belpas 15 Group does not
193 use additional natural feed. The addition of papaya leaf powder has a significant impact on
194 the growth of fish length and weight. Optimal treatment showed an increase in length growth
195 of 2.69 gr and 3.51 cm. In addition, specific weight and length increased to 3.89 gr and 5.89
196 cm, while FCR was 0.517[11]. The effectiveness of using maggots as additional feed to feed
197 sangkuriang catfish seeds (*Clarias gariepinus*) can be used as an alternative in reducing the
198 cost of purchasing commercial feed, because by providing additional feed maggots provide
199 higher weight growth rates, provide good length growth rates, increase survival. Rate (SR),
200 increases the feed efficiency value, and reduces the FCR value[12].

201 3.2.2 Mastery of Technology

202 In the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group there are several discrepancies in mastery of
203 technology. Among them are the protein content of the feed which is not paid attention to,
204 the frequency of feeding which is only 2 times a day from the start of the cycle until harvest,
205 the amount of feed which is measured manually until the catfish are full, pest control, and
206 fish disease control which is not known how it works. In Belpas Group 15, there was a
207 discrepancy in the amount of feed given according to the percentage of fish weight. In
208 Belpas Group 15, the amount of feeding is still measured manually until the catfish are full.
209 The WarnasariMandiri group is very good at mastering technology.

210 For seeds measuring 10-12 cm, the best protein content in the feed is at least 30%. Then
211 the frequency of feeding can be done 4 times a day for seeds that have just been sown for 2
212 weeks, then feeding is done 2 times until the catfish are harvested. Then the amount of
213 feeding is done using a percentage or satiation method of 80%, which means the catfish are
214 not 100% full and will be added or recalculated every 3 days. Pest control is carried out
215 during pool preparation and during enlargement, including processing the bottom of the pool
216 and drying it completely, so that all organisms die and improving the structure of the bottom
217 soil so that toxic gases escape. Then to control the disease you can use hand dipping, short
218 bathing, long bathing, and pond treatment [13].

219 3.3 Fish Production

220 Fish production here includes the production of fish for consumption and for sale within one
221 year to the BuruanSae group. The fish production in one year in the BuruanSae group is
222 presented in Table 8.

223 **Table 8. Fish Production**

| Group | Fish Production for Consumption (kg) | Fish Production for Consumption (fish) | Fish Production for Sale (kg) | Fish Production for Sale (fish) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| WarnasariMandiri | - | - | 210 (180 from pond dan 30 from bucket) | 1.470 (1.260 from pond dan 210 from bucket) |
| <i>Jasmine Integrated Farm</i> | - | - | 10 | 80 |
| Belpas 15 | - | - | 15 | 105 |

224
225 In the context of the number of fish produced, the harvested fish cultured in buckets from the
226 WarnasariMandiri Group, Jasmine Integrated Farm Group, and Belpas 15 Group are not

227 intended for direct consumption by members or families implementing the program.
 228 However, the harvest is intended for sale. The WarnasariMandiri group produced 210 kg
 229 (equivalent to 1,470 fish), while the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group and Belpas 15 Group
 230 produced 10 kg (equivalent to 80 fish) and 15 kg (equivalent to 105 fish) respectively. This
 231 difference reflects the scale of production and focus of economic activities of each group,
 232 where the WarnasariMandiri group is more oriented towards production for sale as a form of
 233 business activity. For the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group and the Belpas Group, 15
 234 harvests are for sale, but the harvests are sold at below market prices in the context of
 235 community food security. The proceeds from the sale are used for operational fish farming
 236 activities in group buckets.

237 The average harvest of catfish in bucket fish farming is 1-2 kg/bucket[4]. The
 238 WarnasariMandiri Group has 15 buckets of cultivation buckets with the number of fish
 239 produced from a total of 15 buckets being 30 kg or 2 kg/bucket. Then the Jasmine Integrated
 240 Farm Group has 10 buckets of cultivation buckets with the number of fish produced from a
 241 total of 10 buckets being 10 kg or 1 kg/bucket. For the Belpas Group, the 15 buckets for
 242 cultivation are 10 buckets with the number of fish produced being 15 kg from a total of 10
 243 buckets or 1.5 kg/bucket. This means that if you look at the aspect of the number of fish
 244 produced, the WarnasariMandiri Group, the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group, and the Belpas
 245 15 Group are in the medium category.

246 **3.4 Fish Cultivation Productivity**

247 The fish cultivation productivity per container (kg/year/liter) in the BuruanSae group is
 248 presented in Table 9.

249

Table 9. Fish Cultivation Productivity

| Group | Fish Production (kg/year) | ΣContainer (liter) | Productivity (kg/year/liter) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| WarnasariMandiri | 840 | 4.200 | 0,2 |
| Jasmine Integrated Farm | 40 | 800 | 0,05 |
| Belpas 15 | 60 | 800 | 0,07 |
| Total | 940 | 5.800 | |

250

251 Analysis of fish cultivation productivity per container is an important aspect in evaluating
 252 production efficiency and performance at a specific farming unit scale. From the data
 253 presented, the WarnasariMandiri Group stands out with a productivity of 0.2 kg/year/liter,
 254 indicating optimal use of containers with relatively high fish yields, namely 840 kg per year in
 255 a total container of 4,200 liters. On the other hand, the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group and
 256 the Belpas 15 Group have lower productivity, respectively 0.05 kg/year/liter and 0.07
 257 kg/year/liter. Overall it is categorized as low because productivity is below 0.5 kg/year/liter.

258 This could be due to the level of fish density being too high, especially in the tarpaulin pond
 259 cultivation media of the WarnasariMandiri Group. The death of catfish fry can be caused by
 260 high competition between individual fish for food, space and oxygen. This results in some
 261 fish seeds being unable to adapt and losing in competition, resulting in death[14]. Then the
 262 low productivity of fish cultivation in bucket cultivation media can be due to irregular feeding.
 263 Because the average frequency of feeding for group members is only 2 times a day and also
 264 the amount of feeding at the time of feeding is excessive (until the catfish are full)[15].

265 **3.5 R/C Ratio**

266 Farmers or breeders can be considered as profit-oriented business people, where the main
 267 goal is to achieve maximum profit. Economic efficiency is achieved when available
 268 resources are utilized optimally to achieve these goals [16]. The total revenue, total costs,
 269 and R/C ratio in the BuruanSae group are presented in Table 10.

Table 10. R/C Ratio

| Group | Revenue (IDR/year) | Cost (IDR/year) | R/C Ratio |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| WarnasariMandiri | 16.000.000 | 14.000.000 | 1,14 |
| Jasmine Integrated Farm | 1.400.000 | 600.000 | 2,33 |
| Belpas 15 | 1.500.000 | 1.200.000 | 1,25 |
| Total | 18.900.000 | 15.800.000 | 4,72 |
| Average | 6.300.000 | 5.266.666,67 | 1,57 |

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

If $R/C > 1$ means the farming is profitable, if $R/C = 1$ it means the farming is breaking even, and if $R/C < 1$ means the farming is making a loss [17]. The WarnasariMandiri group produced an R/C Ratio of 1.14. This shows that fish farming businesses in this group are able to achieve profits. On the other hand, the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group and the Belpas 15 Group showed more profitable performance with an R/C Ratio of 2.33 and 1.25 respectively. The R/C Ratio of the three groups is above 1 because the costs incurred for cultivating fish in buckets are low and maintenance is simple. Cultivating fish in buckets does not require a large amount of capital, is simple to maintain, and does not take up space[18]. The devices and equipment used in budikdamber are also simple, easy to obtain, and can use second-hand, unused items, thereby reducing operational costs [19].

4. CONCLUSION

The WarnasariMandiri group has a fish cultivation productivity per container of 0.2 kg/year/liter, indicating optimal use of containers with relatively high fish yields, namely 840 kg/year with a total container of 4,200 liters. Then the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group and the Belpas 15 Group have lower productivity, respectively 0.05 kg/year/liter with fish yields of 40 kg/year with a total container of 800 liters and 0.07 kg/year/liter with fish yield 60 kg/year with a total container of 800 liters. In the R/C Ratio analysis, overall of the 3 groups the R/C Ratio is >1 , which means it is profitable.

292

293

294

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

295

296

297

298

299

Asep Agus HandakaSuryana designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, andwrote the first draft of the manuscript. Mochamad Zidane Fahrullrfy managed the analyses ofthe study and managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

300

301

REFERENCES

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

- [1] E. Pranoto, *Potensi Wilayah Komoditas Pertanian Dalam Mendukung Ketahanan Pangan Berbasis Agribisnis Kabupaten Banyumas*. Semarang: Diponegoro University, 2008.
- [2] S. D. R. Putri, N. Y. Yuningsih, and I. Darmawan, "Implementasi Program Buruan Sae (Sehat, Alami, Ekonomis) Dalam Meningkatkan Ketahanan Pangan Di Kota Bandung Pada Tahun 2020-2021," *J. Adm. Pemerintah.*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 15, 2023, [Online]. Available: https://bphn.go.id/data/documents/peningkatan_ked
- [3] L. Handayani and 2018, "Pemanfaatan Lahan Sempit Dengan Sistem Budidaya Aquaponik," *Pros. Semin. Nas. Has. Pengabdi. 2018*, pp. 118–126, 2018.
- [4] I. E. Susetya and Z. A. Harahap, "Aplikasi budikdamber (Budidaya ikan dalam ember) untuk keterbatasan lahan budidaya di Kota Medan," *Abdimas Talent.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 416–420, 2018.

- 314 [5] T. Widiyanto and L. A. Imron P, "Pendampingan Dan Pelatihan Peningkatan
315 Ketahanan Pangan Dengan BUDIKDAMBER Desa Palur Kecamatan Mojolaban
316 Kabupaten Sukoharjo," *Wasana Nyata*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 45–48, 2021, doi:
317 10.36587/wasananyata.v5i1.858.
- 318 [6] M. Ilyasa, I. Maulina, and Y. Mulyani, "Kegiatan Budidaya Ikan dalam Ember
319 (Budikdamber) Pada Program Urban Farming Buruan Sae di Kecamatan Regol Kota
320 Bandung," *Pros. Semin. Nas. Has. Penelit. dan Pengabd. Kpd. Masy.*, pp. 132–140,
321 2022.
- 322 [7] Sugiyono, *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*.
323 Bandung: Alfabeta, 2014.
- 324 [8] I. B. Mantra, *Demografi Umum*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar, 2004.
- 325 [9] A. N. Saleh, *Pendidikan dan Masyarakat*. Yogyakarta: Sabda Media, 2011.
- 326 [10] Badan Pusat Statistik, *Statistik Kesejahteraan Rakyat*. Batu Bara: Badan Pusat
327 Statistik, 2016.
- 328 [11] M. B. Mubaraq *et al.*, "Pengaruh Penambahan Serbuk Daun Pepaya (*Carica papaya*)
329) pada Pakan untuk Meningkatkan Pertumbuhan Ikan Lele (*Clarias sp .*) The Effect
330 of Adding Papaya Leaf Powder (*Carica Papaya*) to The Feed to Increase The
331 Growth Of Catfish (*Clarias sp .*) Sektor pe," vol. 6, no. October, pp. 83–92, 2021.
- 332 [12] L. E. Berampu, E. Patriono, and R. Amalia, "Pemberian kombinasi maggot dan pakan
333 komersial untuk efektifias pemberian pakan tambahan benih ikan Lele Sangkuriang
334 (*Clarias gariepinus*) oleh kelompok pembudidaya ikan Lele," *Sriwij. Biosci.*, vol. 2, no.
335 2, pp. 1–15, 2022, doi: 10.24233/sribios.2.2.2021.315.
- 336 [13] Suprpto and L. S. Samtafsir, *Rahasia Sukses Teknologi Budidaya Lele*. Depok:
337 Agro 165, 2013.
- 338 [14] F. Aini, R. Asra, H. Maritsa, A. I. Yusuf, and A. Sazali, "Implementation of Fish
339 Aquaculture Techniques in Bucket (Budikdamber) in The Community of Talang
340 Inuman Muara Bulian Village," *J. Rural Urban Community Empower.*, vol. 2, no. 1,
341 pp. 29–36, 2020, [Online]. Available:
342 [https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=id&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=Penerapan+Teknik+Bu
343 didayalkanDalam+Ember+%28Budikdamber%29+Di+Lingkungan+Masyarakat+Desa
344 TalangInumanMuaraBulian&btnG=](https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=id&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=Penerapan+Teknik+Bu+didayalkanDalam+Ember+%28Budikdamber%29+Di+Lingkungan+Masyarakat+Desa+TalangInumanMuaraBulian&btnG=)
- 345 [15] Q. Liswahyuni, A., Mapparimeng, Ayyun, "Tingkat Kelangsungan Hidup Dan Pola
346 PErumbuhan Bibit Ikan Lele (*Clarias gariepinus*) Dalam Kepadatan Yang Berbeda
347 Pada Sistem BUDIKDAMBER," *Fish. Aquat. Stud.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 051–059, 2021.
- 348 [16] A. Tasman and H. Aima, *Ekonomi Manajerial dengan Pendekatan Matematis*. Depok:
349 Rajawali Pers, 2018.
- 350 [17] K. Suratiyah, *Ilmu Usahatani*. Jakarta: Penebar Swadaya, 2015.
- 351 [18] N. Hasanah *et al.*, "Penerapan Sistem Budikdamber di Pekarangan Rumah
352 Masyarakat Desa Jayagiri untuk Peningkatan Ketahanan Pangan Keluarga," *J. Pus.
353 Inov. Masy.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 60–68, 2022, doi: 10.29244/jpim.4.2.60-68.
- 354 [19] S. Suryanti, A. Umami, R. Firmansyah, and R. Widyasaputra, "Pemberdayaan
355 pertanian organik dengan model hidroganik budikdamber di era pandemi covid-19,"
356 *J. Agro Dedik. Masy.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 44–50, 2020, [Online]. Available:
357 <http://journal.ummat.ac.id/index.php/JADM/article/view/2966/2139>
358