

1

2

3

# Analysis of Fish Cultivation Productivity in the Buruan Sae Program in Bandung City.

13

14

15

---

## ABSTRACT

This research aims to analyze the production and productivity of fish cultivation in the Buruan Sae Program in Bandung City. Apart from the socio-economic characteristics of program implementers, there are several conditions and obstacles experienced by program implementers as complementary research data. The research method used is the case study method. This research was conducted in three groups of the Buruan Sae Program. The implementation will be carried out in October 2023 – March 2024. The respondents in this research were 46 people who were program implementers from three groups of the Buruan Sae Program. This research applies a saturated sampling method or census. The analytical method in this research uses quantitative descriptive analysis. The results showed that the Warnasari Mandiri Group produced 210 kg of fish, while the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group and the Belpas 15 Group produced 10 kg and 15 kg of fish respectively. Warnasari Mandiri Group with productivity per container of 0.2 kg/year/liter. Meanwhile, the Jasmine Integrated Farm and Belpas 15 groups productivity per container is 0.05 and 0.07 kg/year/liter. The R/C Ratio for the Warnasari Mandiri Group is 1.14, Jasmine Integrated Farm is 2.33, and Belpas 15 is 1.25. Based on the results of the analysis, it can be concluded that the fish production of the Warnasari Mandiri Group, the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group, and the Belpas 15 Group is in the medium category. The Warnasari Mandiri group has the highest productivity per container, while the Jasmine Integrated Farm and Belpas 15 groups have lower productivity. Overall, the R/C Ratio of the three groups shows favorable results, namely greater than 1.

16

17

18

*Keywords: Production, Productivity, Socio-Economic Characteristics, Productivity*

31

32

## 33 1. INTRODUCTION

34

35 In order for humans to survive, the need for food is very basic. According to the 1945  
36 Constitution of Indonesia, the state is responsible for maintaining food sovereignty, meaning  
37 ensuring that all people have access to sufficient, safe, high-quality and nutritionally  
38 balanced food. This concept includes key factors such as food availability, distribution and  
39 consumption. Food security refers to a system consisting of many different subsystems,  
40 including aspects such as availability, distribution and consumption. The main objective of  
41 this system is to ensure the stability of food supply, distribution and community access to  
42 food as well as food management at the household level, including food preparation, menus  
43 and food distribution in the home environment [1].

44 To prevent food shortages, guarantee food security, and ensure adequate food logistics, the  
45 government's role in developing regulations that support food security is very important. The  
46 Mayor of Bandung and his team through the Bandung City Food Security and Agriculture  
47 Service responded by launching an urban farming program called "Buruan SAE (*Sehat,*  
48 *Alami, Ekonomis*) (it means natural, healthier, economical)". The implementation of this  
49 program is based on the Bandung Mayor's Circular regarding the implementation of  
50 integrated urban farming activities. Buruan Sae is designed as a community empowerment  
51 program to help people become more independent in producing their own food needs. This  
52 is expected to make food consumption healthier, natural and economical, while creating  
53 positive environmental awareness [2].

54 Implementation of Budikdamber's idea is part of efforts to build infrastructure and provide  
55 facilities for each Buruan Sae group. Budikdamber is a fish cultivation technique that uses an  
56 aquaponics system in a container such as a bucket or bathtub [3]. This approach makes it  
57 possible to grow crops and raise fish simultaneously, thereby meeting the need for vegetable  
58 and animal protein in one place and optimizing space or land use [4]. Budikdamber is  
59 considered a potential solution for the future of agriculture and fisheries, especially in areas  
60 with limited water and land resources. Budikdamber can be applied effectively in various  
61 locations such as housing, urban areas, apartments, rental houses, and refugee centers [5].

62 In the budikdamber activity area in Pungkur Village, Regol District, Bandung City, it shows  
63 that the majority of implementers of fish farming activities in buckets experienced failure in  
64 the initial stages of fish farming activities in buckets. This is due to a lack of understanding  
65 by budikdamber implementers regarding how to raise fish, including a lack of attention to  
66 water conditions and excessive feeding, which resulted in around 300 fish fry dying [6]. If we  
67 refer to the concept of food security which explains that food must be safe, healthy and  
68 nutritious and that is also in accordance with the objectives of the Buruan Sae Program, it is  
69 necessary to analyze the production and productivity of fish farming and other problems  
70 found in the research location.

71

## 72 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

73

### 74 2.1 Time and Place

75 This research was carried out in the Warnasari Mandiri Group located in Antapani Kidul  
76 Village, Antapani District, Jasmine Integrated Farm Group located in Antapani Tengah  
77 Village, and the Belpas 15 Group located in Sadang Serang District, Coblong District. The  
78 duration of the research will be carried out in October – March 2024.

### 79 2.2 Research Methods

80 The research method used is a case study method using a survey and using a previously  
81 prepared questionnaire as a primary data collection tool. This research applies a saturated  
82 sampling method or census, where all members of the population are sampled [7]. As case  
83 units are the implementers of the Buruan Sae Program in the Warnasari Mandiri Group, the  
84 Jasmine Integrated Farm Group, and the Belpas 15 Group.

### 85 2.3 Type and Source Data

86 The data in this research is quantitative data obtained from both primary and secondary  
 87 sources. Primary data was collected through two methods, namely observation and  
 88 interviews by filling in questionnaires. The primary data in this research are socio-economic  
 89 characteristics which include age, education, experience, income, number of family  
 90 members, and fish production implementing the Buruan Sae Program in the Warnasari  
 91 Mandiri Group, Jasmine Integrated Farm Group, and Belpas 15 Group. Meanwhile,  
 92 secondary data taken from various sources such as literature, journals, research reports,  
 93 and relevant documents from related agencies to determine the general condition of the  
 94 group, the number of program group members, and other supporting data.

#### 95 **2.4 Data Analysis Methods**

96 The analysis used to explain the socio-economic characteristics of the families implementing  
 97 the program and the fish production of the Buruan Sae Program are descriptive analysis. For  
 98 data analysis, fish farming productivity is determined using quantitative analysis. Several  
 99 analytical tools used in this research are presented as follows:

100 1. Fish Cultivation Productivity

$$\Sigma \text{ Productivity (kg/year/m}^3\text{)} = \frac{\Sigma \text{ Production (kg/year)}}{\Sigma \text{ Container (liter)}}$$

101 2. R/C Ratio

$$\frac{R}{C} = \frac{\text{Total Revenue (TR)}}{\text{Total Cost (TC)}}$$

### 102 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 103 **3.1 Socio-economic Characteristics**

104 The characteristics referred to here are socio-economic characteristics which include age,  
 105 education, number of family members, income, and experience of members of the hunted  
 106 sae group, namely members of the Warnasari Mandiri Group, the Jasmine Integrated Farm  
 107 Group, and the Belpas 15 Group.

##### 108 **3.1.1 Age**

109 The data obtained in the results of this study show quite a variety of ages from the  
 110 respondents, details of which can be seen in Table 1.

111 **Table 1. Respondent's Age**

No	Age	Total (People)	Percentage (%)
1	Not Yet Productive (<14 years)	0 people	0
2	Productive (15-64 years)	42 people	91,3
3	Not Productive (>65 years)	4 people	8,7
<b>Total</b>		<b>46 people</b>	<b>100</b>

112 The distribution of farmers based on the productive age range is divided into three  
 113 categories, namely the 0-14 year old group who have not yet reached productive age, the  
 114 15-64 year old group who are productive age group, and the over 65 year old age group who  
 115 have passed productive age [8]. Based on the data processing in the table, the majority of  
 116 respondents are in the productive age group (15-64 years) with 42 people, with a percentage  
 117 reaching 91.3%. The second position was occupied by 4 respondents belonging to the  
 118 unproductive age group (>65 years), with a percentage of 8.7%. Meanwhile, there were no  
 119 respondents under 14 years of age, so the percentage was 0%. The total number of  
 120 respondents was 46 people.

##### 121 **3.1.2 Education**

122 The data obtained in the results of this research shows quite a variety of education from  
 123 respondents with details can be seen in Table 2.

128

**Table 2. Respondent's Education**

No	Education	Total (People)	Percentage (%)
1	Elementary (Elementary/High School)	12 people	26,1
2	Medium (Senior High School)	20 people	43,5
3	High (Diploma/Bachelor/Master)	14 people	30,4
<b>Total</b>		<b>46 people</b>	<b>100</b>

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

Basic education is the initial stage of education which lasts for the first 9 years, consisting of 6 years in elementary school (SD) and 3 years in junior high school (SMP). Then, secondary education is a continuation of basic education with a duration of 3 years in senior high school (SMA). Meanwhile, higher education is an advanced stage of secondary education which includes various programs such as diploma, bachelor's, master's, doctoral and specialist courses held at universities [9]. From the data obtained as shown in the table above, the majority of respondents in the Buruan sae group belong to the secondary education level (SMA) as many as 20 people, with a percentage reaching 43.5%. Followed by 14 respondents with a higher education level (Diploma/Bachelor/Postgraduate), with a percentage of 30.4%. Meanwhile, there were 12 respondents with basic education (SD/SMP), with a percentage of 26.1%.

**3.1.3 Number of Family Members**

The data obtained in the results of this research shows quite a variety of respondents' family members, details of which can be seen in Table 3.

144

**Table 3. Number of Family Members of the Respondent**

No	Number of Family Members	Total (People)	Percentage (%)
1	Low (1-3 people)	11 people	23,9
2	Medium (4-6 people)	35 people	76,1
3	High (>6 people)	0 people	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>46 people</b>	<b>100</b>

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

The number of family members can be grouped into three categories. First, the low group (small family) consists of 1-3 people. Second, medium groups consist of 4-6 people. Third, the high group (large family) consists of more than 6 people [10]. From the data obtained as shown in the table above, the majority of respondents were 35 people with a percentage of 76.1%, belonging to the medium group with the number of family members between 4 and 6 people. Then there were 11 people with a percentage of 23.9% who were in the low group (small families), indicating the number of family members ranged from 1 to 3 people. There were no respondents who belonged to the high group (large family), which means no one had a family with more than 6 members.

**3.1.4 Income**

The data obtained in the results of this research shows quite a variety of respondents' incomes, details of which can be seen in Table 4.

158

**Table 4. Respondent's Income**

No	Income	Total (People)	Percentage (%)
1	Low (<IDR.1.500.000)	0 people	0
2	Medium (IDR 1.500.000-2.500.000)	25 people	54,3
3	High (IDR 2.500.000-3.500.000)	16 people	34,8

4	Very High (>IDR.3.500.000)	5 people	10,9
<b>Total</b>		<b>46 people</b>	<b>100</b>

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

Family income is divided into 4 groups based on the monthly income earned. The first group is low, with an income of less than IDR 1,500,000. The second group is medium, with an average income of between IDR 1,500,000 to IDR 2,500,000. The third group is high, with an average income of between IDR 2,500,000 to IDR 3,500,000. And the last group is very high, with an income of more than IDR 3,500,000 [10]. The majority of respondents, 25 people with a percentage of 54.3%, had a family income in the range of IDR 1,500,000 to IDR 2,500,000. Followed by 16 respondents with a percentage of 34.8% who had a family income in the range of IDR 2,500,000 to IDR 3,500,000. A total of 5 respondents with a percentage of 10.9% had a family income of more than IDR 3,500,000, which is classified as a very high income category. Meanwhile, there were no respondents who had a family income of less than IDR 1,500,000. These findings provide an overview of the distribution of fish family income in the Buruan Sae group, which is important for understanding the fish consumption patterns of each family.

**3.1.5 Experience**

The data obtained in the results of this research shows the length of experience of respondents as members of the Buruan Sae group, details of which can be seen in Table 5.

176

**Table 5. Respondent's Experience**

No	Experience	Total (People)	Percentage (%)
1	Less Experienced (<1 year)	0 people	0
2	Enough (1-2 year)	0 people	0
3	Experienced (>2 year)	46 people	100
<b>Total</b>		<b>46 people</b>	<b>100</b>

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

The recorded data regarding the length of experience as a member of the sae hunted group shows an interesting pattern. There are no members who are classified as less experienced (<1 year) or quite experienced (1-2 years). On the other hand, all members, namely 46 people or 100%, have experience that can be considered experienced, with more than 2 years of experience. These findings highlight the consistency and dedication of members in the Buruan Sae group over a long period of time. Analysis of these patterns can provide deep insight into the internal dynamics of the group, as well as strengthen understanding of the commitment and motivation of members.

**3.2 Cultivation Profile**

**3.2.1 Seeds and Feed**

In this research, Sangkuriang catfish seeds were initially scattered into buckets and ponds to start the cultivation process. In the early stages of fish cultivation, the seeds stocked at the beginning have different characteristics between the Buruan Sae group. The size of the seeds and the number of seeds stocked at the beginning of the cycle are shown in Table 6.

192

**Table 6. Seeds**

Group	Seed Size (cm)	Total of Seeds Stocked
Warnasari Mandiri	9-10	50 fish/bucket 500 fish/pond
Jasmine Integrated Farm	10-12	25 fish/bucket
Belpas 15	5-10	50 fish/bucket

193

194

195

The Warnasari Mandiri Group uses seeds with an average size of 9-10 cm, while the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group uses seeds with an average size of 10-12 cm, and the

196 Belpas 15 Group uses seeds with an average size of 5-10 cm . The number of seeds  
 197 stocked also varies between Buruan Sae groups. The Warnasari Mandiri Group has set a  
 198 stocking density of 50 fish/bucket and 500 fish/pond with a total of 15 buckets and a total of  
 199 6 ponds. Meanwhile, the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group uses a stocking density of 25  
 200 fish/bucket with a total of 10 buckets, and the Belpas Group 15 sets a stocking density of 50  
 201 fish/bucket with a total of 10 buckets.  
 202 There are variations in the type of feed used by each Buruan Sae group. The types of feed  
 203 used by each Buruan Sae group are shown in Table 7.

204 **Table 7. Feed**

<b>Group</b>	<b>Artificial Feed</b>	<b>Natural Feed</b>
Warnasari Mandiri	Floating Pellets	Papaya Leaf
<i>Jasmine Integrated Farm</i>	Floating Pellets	Maggot
Belpas 15	Floating Pellets	-

205  
 206 The Warnasari Mandiri Group, Jasmine Integrated Farm Group, and Belpas 15 Group use  
 207 floating pellets as the main artificial feed. However, there are differences in the use of natural  
 208 feed. The Warnasari Mandiri Group uses powdered papaya leaves as additional natural  
 209 feed, the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group uses maggot feed, while the Belpas 15 Group  
 210 does not use additional natural feed. The addition of papaya leaf powder has a significant  
 211 impact on the growth of fish length and weight. Optimal treatment showed an increase in  
 212 length growth of 2.69 gr and 3.51 cm. In addition, specific weight and length increased to  
 213 3.89 gr and 5.89 cm, while FCR was 0.517 [11]. The effectiveness of using maggots as  
 214 additional feed to feed sangkuriang catfish seeds (*Clarias gariepinus*) can be used as an  
 215 alternative in reducing the cost of purchasing commercial feed, because by providing  
 216 additional feed maggots provide higher weight growth rates, provide good length growth  
 217 rates, increase survival. Rate (SR), increases the feed efficiency value, and reduces the  
 218 FCR value [12].

219 **3.2.2 Mastery of Technology**

220 In the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group there are several discrepancies in mastery of  
 221 technology. Among them are the protein content of the feed which is not paid attention to,  
 222 the frequency of feeding which is only 2 times a day from the start of the cycle until harvest,  
 223 the amount of feed which is measured manually until the catfish are full, pest control, and  
 224 fish disease control which is not known how it works. In Belpas Group 15, there was a  
 225 discrepancy in the amount of feed given according to the percentage of fish weight. In  
 226 Belpas Group 15, the amount of feeding is still measured manually until the catfish are full.  
 227 The Warnasari Mandiri group is very good at mastering technology.  
 228 For seeds measuring 10-12 cm, the best protein content in the feed is at least 30%. Then  
 229 the frequency of feeding can be done 4 times a day for seeds that have just been sown for 2  
 230 weeks, then feeding is done 2 times until the catfish are harvested. Then the amount of  
 231 feeding is done using a percentage or satiation method of 80%, which means the catfish are  
 232 not 100% full and will be added or recalculated every 3 days. Pest control is carried out  
 233 during pool preparation and during enlargement, including processing the bottom of the pool  
 234 and drying it completely, so that all organisms die and improving the structure of the bottom  
 235 soil so that toxic gases escape. Then to control the disease you can use hand dipping, short  
 236 bathing, long bathing, and pond treatment [13].

237 **3.3 Fish Production**

238 Fish production here includes the production of fish for consumption and for sale within one  
 239 year to the Buruan Sae group. The fish production in one year in the Buruan Sae group is  
 240 presented in Table 8.

241

**Table 8. Fish Production**

Group	Fish Production for Consumption (kg)	Fish Production for Consumption (fish)	Fish Production for Sale (kg)	Fish Production for Sale (fish)
Warnasari Mandiri	-	-	210 (180 from pond dan 30 from bucket)	1.470 (1.260 from pond dan 210 from bucket)
<i>Jasmine Integrated Farm</i>	-	-	10	80
Belpas 15	-	-	15	105

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

In the context of the number of fish produced, the harvested fish cultured in buckets from the Warnasari Mandiri Group, Jasmine Integrated Farm Group, and Belpas 15 Group are not intended for direct consumption by members or families implementing the program. However, the harvest is intended for sale. The Warnasari Mandiri group produced 210 kg (equivalent to 1,470 fish), while the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group and Belpas 15 Group produced 10 kg (equivalent to 80 fish) and 15 kg (equivalent to 105 fish) respectively. This difference reflects the scale of production and focus of economic activities of each group, where the Warnasari Mandiri group is more oriented towards production for sale as a form of business activity. For the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group and the Belpas Group, 15 harvests are for sale, but the harvests are sold at below market prices in the context of community food security. The proceeds from the sale are used for operational fish farming activities in group buckets.

The average harvest of catfish in bucket fish farming is 1-2 kg/bucket [4]. The Warnasari Mandiri Group has 15 buckets of cultivation buckets with the number of fish produced from a total of 15 buckets being 30 kg or 2 kg/bucket. Then the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group has 10 buckets of cultivation buckets with the number of fish produced from a total of 10 buckets being 10 kg or 1 kg/bucket. For the Belpas Group, the 15 buckets for cultivation are 10 buckets with the number of fish produced being 15 kg from a total of 10 buckets or 1.5 kg/bucket. This means that if you look at the aspect of the number of fish produced, the Warnasari Mandiri Group, the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group, and the Belpas 15 Group are in the medium category.

### 3.4 Fish Cultivation Productivity

The productivity per container (kg/year/liter) in the Buruan Sae group is presented in Table 9.

267

**Table 9. Fish Cultivation Productivity**

Group	Fish Production (kg/year)	Σ Container (liter)	Productivity (kg/year/liter)
Warnasari Mandiri	840	4.200	0,2
<i>Jasmine Integrated Farm</i>	40	800	0,05
Belpas 15	60	800	0,07
<b>Total</b>	<b>940</b>	<b>5.800</b>	

268

269

270

271

272

Analysis of fish farming productivity per container is an important aspect in evaluating production efficiency and performance at a specific farming unit scale. From the data presented, the Warnasari Mandiri Group stands out with a productivity of 0.2 kg/year/liter, indicating optimal use of containers with relatively high fish yields, namely 840 kg per year in

273 a total container of 4,200 liters. On the other hand, the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group and  
 274 the Belpas 15 Group have lower productivity, respectively 0.05 kg/year/liter and 0.07  
 275 kg/year/liter. Overall it is categorized as low because productivity is below 0.5 kg/year/liter.  
 276 This could be due to the level of fish density being too high, especially in the tarpaulin pond  
 277 cultivation media of the Warnasari Mandiri Group. The death of catfish fry can be caused by  
 278 high competition between individual fish for food, space and oxygen. This results in some  
 279 fish seeds being unable to adapt and losing in competition, resulting in death. Then the low  
 280 productivity of fish cultivation in bucket cultivation media can be due to irregular feeding.  
 281 Because the average frequency of feeding for group members is only 2 times a day and also  
 282 the amount of feeding at the time of feeding is excessive (until the catfish are full) [14].

### 283 3.5 R/C Ratio

284 Farmers or breeders can be considered as profit-oriented business people, where the main  
 285 goal is to achieve maximum profit. Economic efficiency is achieved when available  
 286 resources are utilized optimally to achieve these goals [15]. The total revenue, total costs,  
 287 and R/C ratio in the Buruan Sae group are presented in Table 10.

288 **Table 10. R/C Ratio**

<b>Group</b>	<b>Revenue (IDR/year)</b>	<b>Cost (IDR/year)</b>	<b>R/C Ratio</b>
Warnasari Mandiri	16.000.000	14.000.000	1,14
<i>Jasmine Integrated Farm</i>	1.400.000	600.000	2,33
Belpas 15	1.500.000	1.200.000	1,25
<b>Total</b>	<b>18.900.000</b>	<b>15.800.000</b>	<b>4,72</b>
<b>Average</b>	<b>6.300.000</b>	<b>5.266.666,67</b>	<b>1,57</b>

289  
 290 If  $R/C > 1$  means the farming is profitable, if  $R/C = 1$  it means the farming is breaking even,  
 291 and if  $R/C < 1$  means the farming is making a loss [16]. The Warnasari Mandiri group  
 292 produced an R/C Ratio of 1.14. This shows that fish farming businesses in this group are  
 293 able to achieve profits. On the other hand, the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group and the  
 294 Belpas 15 Group showed more profitable performance with an R/C Ratio of 2.33 and 1.25  
 295 respectively. The R/C Ratio of the three groups is above 1 because the costs incurred for  
 296 cultivating fish in buckets are low and maintenance is simple. Cultivating fish in buckets does  
 297 not require a large amount of capital, is simple to maintain, and does not take up space [17].

## 298 4. CONCLUSION

299  
 300  
 301 The Warnasari Mandiri group has a productivity per container of 0.2 kg/year/liter, indicating  
 302 optimal use of containers with relatively high fish yields, namely 840 kg/year with a total  
 303 container of 4,200 liters. Then the Jasmine Integrated Farm Group and the Belpas 15 Group  
 304 have lower productivity, respectively 0.05 kg/year/liter with fish yields of 40 kg/year with a  
 305 total container of 800 liters and 0.07 kg/year/liter with fish yield 60 kg/year with a total  
 306 container of 800 liters. In the R/C Ratio analysis, overall of the 3 groups the R/C Ratio is  $>1$ ,  
 307 which means it is profitable.

## 319 REFERENCES

320

- 321 [1] E. Pranoto, *Potensi Wilayah Komoditas Pertanian Dalam Mendukung Ketahanan*  
 322 *Pangan Berbasis Agribisnis Kabupaten Banyumas*. Semarang: Diponegoro  
 323 University, 2008.
- 324 [2] S. D. R. Putri, N. Y. Yuningsih, and I. Darmawan, "Implementasi Program Buruan  
 325 Sae (Sehat, Alami, Ekonomis) Dalam Meningkatkan Ketahanan Pangan Di Kota  
 326 Bandung Pada Tahun 2020-2021," *J. Adm. Pemerintah.*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 15, 2023,  
 327 [Online]. Available: [https://bphn.go.id/data/documents/peningkatan\\_ked](https://bphn.go.id/data/documents/peningkatan_ked)
- 328 [3] L. Handayani and 2018, "Pemanfaatan Lahan Sempit Dengan Sistem Budidaya  
 329 Aquaponik," *Pros. Semin. Nas. Has. Pengabd. 2018*, pp. 118–126, 2018.
- 330 [4] I. E. Susetya and Z. A. Harahap, "Aplikasi budikdamber (Budidaya ikan dalam  
 331 ember) untuk keterbatasan lahan budidaya di Kota Medan," *Abdimas Talent.*, vol. 3,  
 332 no. 2, pp. 416–420, 2018.
- 333 [5] T. Widiyanto and L. A. Imron P, "Pendampingan Dan Pelatihan Peningkatan  
 334 Ketahanan Pangan Dengan BUDIKDAMBER Desa Palur Kecamatan Mojolaban  
 335 Kabupaten Sukoharjo," *Wasana Nyata*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 45–48, 2021, doi:  
 336 10.36587/wasananyata.v5i1.858.
- 337 [6] M. Ilyasa, I. Maulina, and Y. Mulyani, "Kegiatan Budidaya Ikan dalam Ember  
 338 (Budikdamber) Pada Program Urban Farming Buruan Sae di Kecamatan Regol Kota  
 339 Bandung," *Pros. Semin. Nas. Has. Penelit. dan Pengabd. Kpd. Masy.*, pp. 132–140,  
 340 2022.
- 341 [7] Sugiyono, *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*.  
 342 Bandung: Alfabeta, 2014.
- 343 [8] I. B. Mantra, *Demografi Umum*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar, 2004.
- 344 [9] A. N. Saleh, *Pendidikan dan Masyarakat*. Yogyakarta: Sabda Media, 2011.
- 345 [10] Badan Pusat Statistik, *Statistik Kesejahteraan Rakyat*. Batu Bara: Badan Pusat  
 346 Statistik, 2016.
- 347 [11] M. B. Mubaraq *et al.*, "Pengaruh Penambahan Serbuk Daun Pepaya ( *Carica papaya*  
 348 ) pada Pakan untuk Meningkatkan Pertumbuhan Ikan Lele ( *Clarias sp .* ) The Effect  
 349 of Adding Papaya Leaf Powder ( *Carica Papaya* ) to The Feed to Increase The  
 350 Growth Of Catfish ( *Clarias sp .* ) Sektor pe," vol. 6, no. October, pp. 83–92, 2021.
- 351 [12] L. E. Berampu, E. Patriono, and R. Amalia, "Pemberian kombinasi maggots dan pakan  
 352 komersial untuk efektifitas pemberian pakan tambahan benih ikan Lele Sangkuriang  
 353 (*Clarias gariepinus*) oleh kelompok pembudidaya ikan Lele," *Sriwij. Biosci.*, vol. 2, no.  
 354 2, pp. 1–15, 2022, doi: 10.24233/sribios.2.2.2021.315.
- 355 [13] Suprpto and L. S. Samtafsir, *Rahasia Sukses Teknologi Budidaya Lele*. Depok:  
 356 Agro 165, 2013.
- 357 [14] Q. Liswahyuni, A., Mapparimeng, Ayyun, "Tingkat Kelangsungan Hidup Dan Pola  
 358 PErumbuhan Bibit Ikan Lele (*Clarias gariepinus*) Dalam Kepadatan Yang Berbeda  
 359 Pada Sistem BUDIKDAMBER," *Fish. Aquat. Stud.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 051–059, 2021.
- 360 [15] A. Tasman and H. Aima, *Ekonomi Manajerial dengan Pendekatan Matematis*. Depok:  
 361 Rajawali Pers, 2018.
- 362 [16] K. Suratiyah, *Ilmu Usahatani*. Jakarta: Penebar Swadaya, 2015.
- 363 [17] N. Hasanah *et al.*, "Penerapan Sistem Budikdamber di Pekarangan Rumah  
 364 Masyarakat Desa Jayagiri untuk Peningkatan Ketahanan Pangan Keluarga," *J. Pus.*  
 365 *Inov. Masy.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 60–68, 2022, doi: 10.29244/jpim.4.2.60-68.

366