

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_116744
Title of the Manuscript:	RESTRAINING CYBERLOAFING BEHAVIOR IN PRIVATE HOSPITAL EMPLOYEES: ANALYSIS OF WORK STRESS FACTORS AND LOCUS OF CONTROL
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it investigates the crucial interplay between locus of control, work stress, cyberloafing behavior, and employee performance which offers valuable insights into employee productivity and well-being in the private healthcare organization.</p> <p>Yes. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes. The sub-sections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. The references are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rupak Subedi
Department, University & Country	Madan Bhandari Academy of Health Sciences, Nepal