

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_116744
Title of the Manuscript:	RESTRAINING CYBERLOAFING BEHAVIOR IN PRIVATE HOSPITAL EMPLOYEES: ANALYSIS OF WORK STRESS FACTORS AND LOCUS OF CONTROL
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript seems to be significant and relevant for the scientific community. It covers the important aspects of human resource management and organizational behaviour. Based on findings, the study has practical implications for organizations and government agencies. Moreover, quantitative approach and use of PLS for statistical analysis will also contribute for the research community.</p> <p>2. Title of the study is relevant.</p> <p>3. The abstract provided a comprehensive summary of the article, such as, clear objectives, sampling, data collection and analysis and findings.</p> <p>4. Overall structure of the manuscript is up to the mark, however, in method section nothing is mentioned about the measurement scales, study does not tell anything regarding the scales are designed and adapted.</p> <p>5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. References are up to date.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/ English seem to be suitable for a research article. The manuscript reflects a formal and academic style of writing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Kamran Rafique
Department, University & Country	Hamdard Institute of Business Management, Humdard University, Pakistan