

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_116616
Title of the Manuscript:	Unlocking Organizational Commitment Through Individual Traits and Job Satisfaction: A Millennial and Gen Z Perspective
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In current scientific community the study is very timely and relevant. If, I may suggest it should be disseminated rigourously. 2. If there is a possibility to revise the title, please its too broad, but it is on the context of current trends of formulating the title of the study. Appropriate title for publication. 3. The abstract are comprehensive and specifically stated. But, I may suggest that abbreviation should spelled out, I mean do not abbreviate. 4. Based on my perusal of the study, yes, it is appropriate. The current research is comprehensively present the results and the methods. 5. As to manuscript and based on my expertise it follows scientific way and therefoe it is scientifically correct. 6. The references are more than enough and up to date. It is enough to triangulate the previous findings to the current findings base on the existing references as presented. <p>So far, in perusal of the study it is with quality and appropriate for publication.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>It is highly recommended that author shall submit the paper for grammar check and verified in terms of technicalities of the term. Since, grammar check is so generic.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I recommend that the study is ready for publication.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Johnny B. Dolor
Department, University & Country	College of Education, Iloilo State University of Fisheries Science and Technology, Philippines