

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JSRR_116472 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | “Effect of nutrition, health and hygiene education on knowledge attitude and Practices (KAP) among selected transgender community” |
| Type of the Article | Original research article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The manuscript has a significant impact on the scientific community, as it shows a specific case of working with transgender communities in relation to nutrition, education, and hygiene.</p> <p>The title is in accordance with the elements described and exposed in the body of the manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract clearly and coherently sets out each of the elements of the article.</p> <p>Section and subsections are not organized using number as it orders the Journal.</p> <p>The manuscript has the criteria of a scientific publication, and its methodology is appropriate according to the objective of the document.</p> <p>The article presents appropriate references according to the topic addressed.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>The writing of the article is adequate from the subject matter raised and does not have major drafting problems.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The findings are detailed, and discussion of the results is appropriate. However, the conclusion should correspond to each part of the objective proposed in the manuscript.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Name: | Andres Mahecha Ovalle |
| Department, University & Country | Colombia |