

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JABB_116011 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Performance of Different Hybrids of Okra under Prayagraj Agro-climatic Conditions |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript: "Performance of Different Hybrids of Okra under Prayagraj Agro-climatic Conditions" is significant for the scientific community. 2. The article's title: "Performance of Different Hybrids of Okra under Prayagraj Agro-climatic Conditions" is suitable. From the title, it is easy to understand what this article is about. 3. The abstract of the article is almost complete. I think that the research methods should be added to the abstract. 4. The subdivisions and structure of the manuscript are almost consistent. I think the author needs in subsection: "MATERIAL AND METHODS" to tell in more detail about the research methods used for analysis. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. The author perfectly shows the performance results of various okra hybrids. 6. The references are sufficient and fresh. The author must put the relevant references in square brackets [] in the article. <p>Additional comments: The author must redraw the tables in accordance with the requirements: the names of the tables should begin with the first capital letter; in the column of the table, the text is written starting with the first capital letter and, accordingly, up to the terms. There should be a link to each table in the text of the article.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language/English of the article is suitable for scholarly communication. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The manuscript is eligible for publication after the author fulfils the provided suggestions so that the submitted article meets the proper requirements for publication.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Tetyana Kalna-Dubinyuk |
| Department, University & Country | Centre for Agricultural and Rural Development, Iowa State University, USA |