

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_116011
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Different Hybrids of Okra under Prayagraj Agro-climatic Conditions
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Fairly yes</p> <p>Modified title: Performance Hybrids of Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L.) for yield related traits and yield in Prayagraj, India</p> <p>It requires serious revision as per the comments and suggestions depicted directly in the manuscript by tracking. Fairly good</p> <p>May be considered if corrected seriously.</p> <p>REFERENCES are there, but why he has them is not clear since the author scantily or almost used them in the manuscript. Thus, no comment.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please carefully see the suggestions/comments directly indicated in the manuscript.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results are not carefully discussed. It is important. It is important. No discussion in light other researchers findings/reducing the quality of the article. The can only be accepted if it is seriously corrected (I suggest seniors to help the author to reshape the article). 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Habtamu Zeleke
Department, University & Country	School of Plant Sciences, Haramaya University, Ethiopia