

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_116316
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the mutagenic potential of Artavol® using the Ame's Test.
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, However it is a preliminary kind of study.</p> <p>The title is suitable for the said article.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The criteria for selecting doses are not clearly mentioned. Otherwise manuscript is scientifically acceptable.</p> <p>References are appropriate and uptodate</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English grammatical and typo errors should be fixed before accepting the article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1 Typing error in the background and conclusion sections of the abstract.</p> <p>2. Write the scientific name in italicized form only.</p> <p>3. In the results section, "Earlier GC-MS Results were partially published" should not be written as a heading. Instead of that, the author can cite their work in the text.</p> <p>3. The author should improve the quality of the images. The GC chromatogram is also missing.</p> <p>4. Conclusions can have more information with an emphasis on future studies.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alpana Joshi
Department, University & Country	Shobhit Institute of Engineering & Technology, India