

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_115156
Title of the Manuscript:	Novel Graphitic Carbon Nitride/Co-B-P Nanocomposites with Significantly Enhance Visible-Light Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production from Water Splitting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<p>Yes, the manuscript "Novel graphitic carbon nitride/Co-B-P nanocomposites with significantly enhance visible-light photocatalytic hydrogen production from water splitting" seems to highlight a new method to produce efficient hydrogen.</p> <p><b>Yes, the title is alright.</b></p> <p><b>The abstract is precise.</b></p> <p><b>The structure of the manuscript is logical with appropriate presentation.</b></p> <p><b>It is scientifically correct.</b></p> <p><b>I can identify about five (5) latest papers (2020 and above), in addition to other papers which are fairly recent.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p>Yes, the use of English in the text is good. I have highlighted my comments on the original manuscript.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The paper is well-written and organized.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Olawale Monsur Sanusi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>INSA Centre Val de Loire, France</b>