

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_116293
Title of the Manuscript:	PULMONARY INFUNDIBULAR STENOSIS SECONDARY TO A MEDIASTINAL HOGDKIN LYMPHOMA TUMOUR INVASION IN A YOUNG ADULT MALE: What rare occurrence!
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title: The title effectively summarizes the rare occurrence presented in the article. However, there's a typographical error in the word "Hogdkin," which should be corrected to "Hodgkin." 2. Abstract: However, it lacks specific details about the treatment approach and prognosis, which are crucial for a comprehensive understanding of the case. 3. Introduction: The introduction provides a good background on Hodgkin lymphoma and its usual thoracic involvement, setting the stage for the rare cardiac localization discussed in the article. However, it could benefit from more recent references to strengthen the background information. 4. Case Report: The case report details the clinical presentation, diagnostic workup, and treatment approach comprehensively. However, the narrative could be organized more logically, perhaps starting with the patient's initial presentation, followed by the relapse scenario, and then the subsequent management. Additionally, including information on the patient's response to treatment and long-term follow-up would enhance the completeness of the case report. 5. Discussion: The discussion provides valuable insights into the epidemiology, diagnostic modalities, and treatment strategies for cardiac lymphomas. However, it could be strengthened by discussing potential differential diagnoses and emphasizing the importance of multidisciplinary collaboration in managing such complex cases. 6. Conclusion: The conclusion effectively summarizes the key findings of the case report. It would be beneficial to include a brief mention of the challenges and future directions in the management of similar cases. 7. References: The references provide a comprehensive overview of the literature related to Hodgkin lymphoma and cardiac involvement. However, some references are outdated, and newer studies could be included to enhance the currency of the literature review. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Some sentences in the "Case Presentation" and "Discussion" section are not clear and should be changed, there are several spelling and grammatical mistakes that need to be corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahab Masoumi
Department, University & Country	Vanderbilt University Medical Center, USA