

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_115870
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization and Classifications of Soils of an Experimental farm in Tiruvannamalai District, Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The present research is of good interest since different regions in the world have various soil types.</p> <p>It has grammatical errors. The name of the country must be mentioned. (Characterization and Classifications of Soils in an Experimental Farm in the Tiruvannamalai District, Tamil Nadu, India)</p> <p>It should be revised and extended. The English language is poor.</p> <p>Not at all. All sections are insufficient and too short, especially the Introduction Section.</p> <p>Yes, the findings appear to be interesting. However, they should be discussed and analysed more.</p> <p>No, the authors should use much more references to validate their findings and survey previous studies.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English writing is poor. It needs improving. In addition, there are a lot of typos. The whole text should be revised.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farshid Taran
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Engineering Research Institute, Iran