

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_115870
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization and Classifications of Soils of an Experimental farm in Tiruvannamalai District, Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. I assume that this paper was prepared quickly without comprehensive scanning and without comprehensive analysis.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Poor</p> <p>4. No, introduction only three sentences and no research gap and state-of-the art conducted. The abstract and conclusion are not well-written with strong scientific background.</p> <p>5. Scientifically correct with poor analysis and interpretation</p> <p>6. No, with only 9 references, authors are not professional to conduct a good research article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No minor revision	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Authors must seriously improve their manuscript; Introduction part must be 3- 5 paragraphs not only 3 sentences. Abstract and conclusion very short and it is difficult to capture what is the objective and background of this manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Andrean Simanjuntak</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indonesia</b>