

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_116009
Title of the Manuscript:	A CASE OF DOUBLE INFERIOR VENA CAVA
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Here are some specific points regarding the structure and content of the paper:</p> <p>Abstract: The abstract succinctly summarizes the key points of the paper, including the rarity of double IVC, potential clinical implications, and the case presentation.</p> <p>Introduction: The introduction provides a brief overview of IVC abnormalities, emphasizing their rarity and increasing detection due to advanced imaging techniques. It sets the stage for understanding the significance of the case report.</p> <p>Case Report: The case report details the incidental finding of double IVC in a 14-year-old boy who underwent a CT scan for trauma evaluation. The clinical presentation, imaging findings, and management of associated injuries are well-described.</p> <p>Discussion: The discussion section delves into the embryology of the IVC and explains how anomalies such as double IVC arise. It provides context for understanding the anatomical variations observed in the case report.</p> <p>Conclusion: The conclusion effectively summarizes the key points discussed in the paper, emphasizing the importance of awareness of IVC anomalies for radiologists and surgeons. It also highlights the significance of preoperative planning in cases where surgical intervention is required.</p> <p>Figures: The inclusion of figures illustrating the anatomical variations observed in the case adds visual clarity to the text and enhances understanding for the reader.</p> <p>References: While the paper references previous literature to support its discussion, ensuring accuracy and relevance of the cited sources is crucial.</p> <p>Overall, the paper provides a well-structured and informative case report on double IVC, contributing to the understanding of this rare anatomical anomaly and its clinical implications. However, it's essential to ensure accuracy and thoroughness in reporting clinical cases, especially when discussing potential implications for patient management and surgical procedures.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used throughout the paper is clear and professional. The formatting is appropriate, with distinct sections for abstract, introduction, case report, discussion, and conclusion.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elissavet Anestiadou
Department, University & Country	School of Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece