

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_115248
Title of the Manuscript:	Preference Analysis of Pigeonpea Varietal Attributes Among Farmers and Traders in Kalyana - Karnataka Region: A Conjoint Analysis Approach
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>1 - Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> Answer: Yes, it has importance in the discovery of new species for agriculture.</p> <p><b>2 - Is the title of the article suitable?</b> Answer: Yes.</p> <p><b>3 - Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Answer: Yes.</p> <p><b>4 - Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Answer: The bibliographic review is a topic that is important for this work. I have not found more detailed information on the review.</p> <p><b>5 - Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> Answer: Although a more detailed bibliographic review is lacking, it still remains a work of scientific relevance.</p> <p><b>7. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> Answer: The references are quite outdated. My recommendation is for a review and update. Although the article is interesting and presents analyzed results, updating the bibliography and literature will be important for a potential publication.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p><b>1 - Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b> Answer: yes.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Junior Silva Souza</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>IFMS, Brazil</b>