

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114184
Title of the Manuscript:	Comprehensive study on reasons for liquidation of Kenguri sheep and factors affecting purpose of sheep rearing in Yadgir, Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, because the method of data collection and analysis has a measurable evidence that is subject to the principles of logic and reasoning.</p> <p>Alternative Title: Sheep Rearing in Yadgir, Karnataka: A comprehensive study on factors affecting sheep rearing and reasons for liquidation of Kenguri sheep.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>There is no section for presentation of data collected before the statistical analysis.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct because the statistical tool employed provides a transparent and interpretable result. The research story is coherent with justifications.</p> <p>The reference is sufficient, but more than 50% is outdated based on scientific nature of the study. There is a mixed-up of different referencing styles.</p> <p>There should be summary before conclusion. The work should be properly edited. Subsections should be in first letter cap. Example "Materials and Methods" and again "Result and Discussion"</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	OK	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Calista Oduchukwu Nwosu
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria