

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_114068
Title of the Manuscript:	The process of making and analyzing biochar from various biomass origins involves several stages, including production and characterization.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The subject is current and meets current scientific needs. Therefore the manuscript is scientifically supportable 2. The title needs to be rephrased. Indeed, it must be precise and attractive 3. The summary needs to be reviewed. The objective must be made clear. The number of keywords is excessive. The author must choose 5 4. Yes 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct apart from inadequacies in certain parts. I think that, introduction is poorly arranged and less fleshed out. We must clearly see the context, the objective, the justification for the choice of this subject, the limits of old research on biochar and the novelty brought. 6. The references are a little outdated. Some recent references should be added 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The level of English in the manuscript is average but comprehensive.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Horo Kone
Department, University & Country	University Alassane Ouattara, Côte d'Ivoire