

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_113706</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of rhizome priming with <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> and soil amendments with organic matter and microalgae against <i>Fusarium equiseti</i> of Turmeric (<i>Curcuma longa</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes the article is very important since eco-friendly disease management practices are internationally recommended</p> <p>Yes- if there is any way of shortening it without changing meaning, it is better</p> <p>Some recommendations are missing in the abstract</p> <p>The author has not very well discussed the findings. The findings have to be compared with other similar studies. This has not come out very well and there is a need for improvement</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct after effecting the comments</p> <p>There is a balance between references and I feel that they are sufficient. Most recent ones are included.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English language used is very clear for scholarly communication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The author should improve his write up using my comments and this research is very important as it will improve production of Turmeric using organic practices which are environmentally sound and not hazardous to human health.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ronald Kisekka</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Forestry Resources Research Institute, National Agricultural Research Organisation, Uganda</b>