

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_114180
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance Of Chia (Salvia hispanica L.) Under Spacing And Nutrient Management Practices in Cauvery Command Area
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>An abstract is a concise summary of a research work. This should include the study background, purpose, focus, methodology, results and conclusion. Thus, revisit the abstract. Use the Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition Template.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. R programming Language is a statistical computing and data visualization software that is free. The R version used in this work should be stated. It should also be cited and referenced (e.g., R Core Team, "R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing," R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2022, URL: https://www.R-project.org/).</p> <p>2. Figure 1 seems nebulous. It should be split into Figure 1: Correlation analysis between soil microbial population at 30 DAS and Figure 2: Correlation analysis between soil microbial population at 60 DAS, with its corresponding figures (diagram).</p> <p>3. The author(s) should check for grammatical errors.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elisha John Inyang
Department, University & Country	University of Uyo, Nigeria