

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_112768
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge Evaluation on Weather Forecast and Agro-advisory services to Farmers in Srikakulam District of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the research is emperical in nature hence good for scientific community</p> <p>2. Yes. However, the name of the country where the research is conducted to be added at the end of the title.</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes. However, there is need for more cohesion because as is now the work lumped together with clear distinctions.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. References not sufficient and some are very old</p> <p>COMMENTS</p> <p>1. Results and Discussions. a. Are the findings of this study actually yours? Pls check reporting to correct accordingly b. Your findings of the study are not explicitly reported. Everything was lumped together casting doubt on the authencity of the study.</p> <p>2. Pls note that Titles of Figures and Tables should appear on top such Figures and Tables but not below it. It is the current APA style</p> <p>3. Your conclusion does not fall in line with your findings, pls check.</p> <p>4. No recommendations made which should constitute a major segment of this study</p> <p>5. Pls I advise you give your work to an English expert to help polish it. Grammatical observations are many in the work.</p> <p>6. Be more coherent in the work pls</p> <p>7. Take your time to go over the work diligently.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pius Williams Wuam
Department, University & Country	Amino Saleh College of Education, Nigeria