

**Deciphering and Measures of Robustness and Resilience in
Farm Animal: A review**

ABSTRACT

The ability of an animal to adapt to transient environmental difficulties and regain its pre-challenge state is known as resilience, and it is a dynamic and multifaceted characteristic. The ability of the herd to adapt to often shifting and uncertain environmental conditions can be strengthened by resilient animals. The capacity of contemporary technologies to capture many performance metrics of individual animals in real time is a significant advancement in assessing the resilience of farm animals. Resilience, however, cannot be measured directly; instead, quantitative resilience indicators must be derived from mathematical models with biologically meaningful characteristics. This work aimed to review and demonstrate, via examples, several modeling methodologies used with this new type of data (high-frequency recording) in order to identify and measure animal reactions to disturbances.

Keywords: Robustness, resilience, livestock, animal models

1. INTRODUCTION

Animal Husbandry is an integral part of agriculture, making a significant contribution to the rural economy and socio-economic development in many developing countries. Livestock is also linked closely with the local culture and traditions, which are being followed ever since the domestication of livestock for economic benefits [1,2]. Climate change has significant implications for livestock production, affecting animals, farmers, and the entire food supply

chain. Therefore, managing the impacts of climate change on livestock production is crucial for achieving economic stability and ensuring the welfare of both animals and farmers [3, 4, 5].

The concepts of robustness and resilience provide a framework for developing and managing animal production systems that can withstand the challenges posed by global warming. By incorporating these principles into breeding programs and management practices at animal farm, the aim is to ensure the long-term sustainability and welfare of livestock in a changing climate [6,7,8,9]. However, the capacity for animals to recover quickly from stressors is a multifaceted attribute that has far-reaching implications for both wild species and farm animals [10]. It is a crucial aspect of evolutionary fitness, welfare in farm settings, and the overall sustainability and efficiency of livestock production systems. Efforts to understand, measure, and enhance this capacity contribute to the well-being of animals, economic viability in livestock, and the long-term success of livestock farm practices [11,12]. Prior to designing strategies to enhance livestock production, it is crucial to address two key characteristics: robustness and resilience. These attributes are particularly significant in the context of climate change. This article covers the identified needs and discusses alternative solutions to address them, using livestock production as an example. The needs include anticipating environmental changes, mitigating feed scarcity, managing the advent of new diseases, and addressing increased heat stress.

2. ROBUSTNESS AND RESILIENCE

Robustness and resilience in the context of farm animals differentiate between the two terms and explain how they relate to the ability of animals to thrive in various environmental conditions. Resilience pertains to an animal's capacity to adapt to stressors and return to its normal state. In this context, 'state' encompasses not only production, but also physiological, behavioral, cognitive, and health characteristics of the animal [13]. Robustness refers to the capacity to have a significant production potential while also being resilient to stressors in various environmental conditions, without affecting reproduction, health, and wellbeing [14].

In brief, robustness entail that the animals maintain functionality when a stressor is applied **which inevitable includes resilience**. The significance of potential complexity between traits for animals' response to certain stresses becomes evident. Resilience refers to an animal's capacity to bounce back from a shock, particularly one that is of relatively brief duration [15, 16, 17]. This suggests that animals must possess adaptability in response to a variable environment. However, Robustness is the ability of a system to withstand perturbations and continue functioning effectively over an extended period, even in unfavorable conditions.

In the context of livestock breeding or any other system, robustness implies a sustained ability to thrive despite challenges. In a stable and favorable environment, where conditions remain relatively constant and predictable, a system may require less robustness and resilience. On the other hand, in a poor or variable environment with unpredictable changes, both robustness and resilience becomes crucial [18]. A system needs to be able to cope with ongoing challenges and be capable of bouncing back from disturbances.

3. FACTORS INFLUENCING ROBUSTNESS AND RESILIENCE

3.1 GENETIC DIVERSITY

Maintaining a diverse gene pool is essential for building robust and resilient livestock populations. Genetic diversity provides the raw material for adaptation to changing environmental conditions and resistance to diseases[19].

3.2 SELECTIVE BREEDING FOR RESILIENCE TRAITS

Identify and select for traits associated with resilience. These may include disease resistance, reproductive performance, adaptability to different environments, and the ability to withstand various stressors. Integrate these traits into the breeding goals to enhance the overall resilience of the population.

3.3 AVOIDING CLOSE INBREEDING

Implement strategies to avoid close inbreeding, which can reduce genetic diversity and increase the risk of undesirable traits being expressed. Monitoring and managing inbreeding levels can help maintain a healthier and more diverse gene pool.

3.4 GENOMIC SELECTION AND MARKER-ASSISTED BREEDING

Utilize advanced breeding technologies such as genomic selection and marker-assisted breeding. These techniques allow for the identification and selection of specific genes associated with desirable traits, contributing to a more targeted and efficient improvement of genetic diversity.

3.5 CRYOPRESERVATION OF GERMLASM

Implement cryopreservation techniques to store genetic material (such as semen, embryos, or germplasm) from a wide range of individuals. This serves as a backup and can be used to reintroduce genetic diversity if needed in the future.

3.6 ROTATIONAL BREEDING AND CROSSBREEDING

Incorporate rotational breeding or crossbreeding programs to introduce genetic diversity into the population. These strategies involve mating individuals from different lines or breeds to capitalize on the benefits of heterosis (hybrid vigor).

3.7 MONITORING POPULATION STRUCTURE

Regularly assess the population structure to identify any decline in genetic diversity. Monitoring tools such as genetic markers or pedigree analysis can provide insights into the health of the gene pool and help guide breeding decisions.

3.8 NATURAL SELECTION AND ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT

Allow for some level of natural selection within the breeding population. Exposure to environmental conditions and challenges can help identify individuals with superior adaptive traits. Adaptive management practices involve adjusting breeding strategies based on observed outcomes and environmental changes.

4. ENVIRONMENT FACTORS

Robustness and resilience play crucial roles in ensuring the sustainability and productivity of the breeding program, in livestock breeding involve selecting for traits that enable animals to adapt to changing environmental conditions, withstand stressors, and maintain productivity. Integrating these principles into breeding programs contributes to the sustainability and success of livestock operations in diverse and dynamic environments [20,21].

4.1 CLIMATE ADAPTATION

Breeding for resilience and robustness involves selecting animals that can adapt to changes in climate patterns. This may include choosing breeds or individuals with traits that enable them to thrive in varying temperature ranges, resist heat stress, or adapt to different weather conditions.

4.2 DISEASE RESISTANCE

Robust breeding programs focus on building resistance to prevalent diseases, either through natural selection or targeted breeding for genetic traits associated with disease resistance. Reducing disease susceptibility contributes to the overall robustness of the livestock population [18].

4.3 FORAGE AND FEED EFFICIENCY

Breeding programs emphasize traits related to efficient nutrient utilization and the ability to thrive on a variety of feed sources. Livestock with robust digestive systems and efficient metabolism can better cope with fluctuations in feed quality.

5. REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE

5.1 RESILIENCE

Breeding for reproductive resilience involves selecting animals with traits that contribute to consistent reproductive performance such as fertility, adaptability to different breeding systems, and the ability to maintain reproductive performance in the face of environmental stressors [23].

5.2 ADAPTABILITY TO ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABILITY

Breeding programs emphasize adaptability to various environmental factors, aiming to produce livestock that can maintain performance and health in different ecological settings[11].

6. MEASURES OF ROBUSTNESS AND RESILIENCE

6.1 GENETIC DIVERSITY ASSESSMENT

Apply genetic markers, pedigree analysis, or genotyping techniques to evaluate the extent of diversity present within the breeding population. Compute essential measures, such as the effective population size and inbreeding coefficients. A greater level of genetic diversity signifies a stronger and more resilient collection of genes, which enhances the population's ability to adapt over a prolonged period of time. Decreased levels of inbreeding indicate a more robust genetic foundation and a less likelihood of genetic diseases[14,24].

6.2 FLEXIBILITY AND EFFICIENCY IN HIGH-PRESSURE SITUATIONS

Conduct controlled studies or observations to assess the performance of animals under stressful conditions, such as heat stress or nutritional stress. Evaluate physiological and behavioral cues, such as food consumption, increase in body mass, and reproductive efficacy. Animals that are resilient experience less deterioration in their performance and demonstrate superior recuperation when faced with stressful conditions. Durable organisms regularly sustain optimal performance regardless of environmental adversities.

Assess the reproductive parameters in diverse environmental conditions, such as variations in temperature or alterations in the availability of food resources, to determine the level of reproductive success. Analyze the rates of fertility, conception, and calving intervals. Resilient breeding systems exhibit continuous reproductive performance across a wide range of environmental variables. Strong and resilient reproductive characteristics contribute to consistent and dependable breeding results [25,26].

6.3 DISEASE RESISTANCE AND HEALTH PARAMETERS

Conduct sickness challenge tests or evaluate health records to quantify the frequency and intensity of diseases. Analyze the indications of immune response and the rates of success

in vaccination. Resilient breeding programs exhibit decreased vulnerability to illnesses and faster recuperation. The livestock population's overall well-being and resilience to diseases are enhanced by strong health characteristics.

Conduct an Environmental Impact assessment to assess the environmental sustainability of the breeding program, taking into account issues such as the efficiency of water and feed usage, waste management, and ecological consequences. Utilize life cycle evaluations to measure the environmental impact of the breeding program. The implementation of sustainable and eco-friendly procedures enhances the resilience of the breeding program. A decreased environmental footprint signifies a system that is more resistant and in line with objectives of long-term sustainability [24,27].

6.4 LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Establish and maintain continuous monitoring and data gathering processes to systematically track performance measures over an extended period. Utilize key performance indicators (KPIs) pertaining to production, reproduction, and health. Sustained performance over an extended period of time indicates both durability and adaptability. Enhancing the adaptability of the breeding program involves identifying trends and proactively resolving potential issues [16,23].

Evaluate the efficacy of breeding goals and selection criteria in attaining intended outcomes.. A good association suggests that the breeding program is effectively focusing on attributes linked to strength and adaptability. Modifications to the selection criteria may be necessary to further optimize the efficacy of the breeding effort [20].

Utilize scenario planning and simulation exercises to evaluate the breeding program's ability to handle hypothetical difficulties, such as disease outbreaks, climate events, or market swings. Simulate the program's ability to adjust and bounce back under different situations. The simulation results offer valuable insights into the breeding program's capacity to withstand and overcome various hurdles. Simulation outcomes can inform modifications to management tactics [25,26].

6.5 FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY AND EFFECTIVENESS

Analyze the economic efficacy of the breeding program by taking into account variables such as production expenditures, income, and return on investment. Evaluate the effectiveness of resource utilization, encompassing factors such as feed, water, and labor. A breeding program that is economically feasible is more likely to be strong and able to withstand market swings and economic difficulties [21].

7. FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND INNOVATIONS

The future of resilience and robustness in livestock breeding programs is likely to be shaped by ongoing advancements in technology, genetics, and a deeper understanding of the complex interactions between animals and their environments. Expanding the scope of genomic selection models to include a broader range of environmental stressors allows breeders to better choose animals with enhanced adaptation and resilience features. Precision Livestock Farming involves the integration of sensor technology, Internet of Things (IoT), and big data analytics to enable the real-time monitoring of individual animal health, behavior, and performance [13,21]. The advancements in CRISPR gene editing technology present potential options for introducing or strengthening certain features linked to enhanced disease resistance, adaptability to different climates, and general strength and resilience. Environmental DNA (eDNA) monitoring involves the utilization of eDNA to assess ecosystems and gain understanding about the influence of animals on their environment. Climate-adaptive breeding initiatives will prioritize the development of cattle populations that are better suited to withstand and thrive in changing climatic conditions. Breeders can utilize data-driven models and scenario planning to predict and choose features that improve resilience in response to climate change. Advancements in quantitative genomics and machine learning will enhance the precision of forecasts for intricate traits. Machine learning algorithms have the ability to examine extensive datasets, offering breeders vital knowledge about the connections between genetic and environmental factors that affect resilience and robustness [18,23].

8. CONCLUSION

Particularly for evaluating the health, welfare, and productivity of animals on an individual and collective level, a multitude of criteria are available. The bulk of these indicators, nevertheless, were created to function as "snapshot" indicators. It becomes feasible to incorporate these traits into future breeding programs when resilience is quantified on an individual basis. As a result, illness and other environmental stresses might have a less negative effect on animal wellbeing by enhancing the animals' ability to adapt to their changing surroundings. Also, by using this kind of quantification, the farmer can modify their management approach to help each animal adjust to the disturbance, which lowers the need for medication and lessens the animal's level of suffering.

REFERENCE

1. Blackie N, Jonathan Amory J, Emma Bleach E., and scaife, J. The effect of lameness on lying behaviour of zero grazed Holstein dairy Cattle. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.*2011;134 : 85– 91
2. Berghof Tom VL., Marieky poppe, Muider, Han A. (2019). Opportunities to improve resilience in animal breeding. *Front in Genet.* 2019; 9:1-15.
3. Colditz IG, Hine BC. Resilience in farm animals: biology management, breeding and implication for animal welfare. *Anim Prod Sci* .2016;56:1961-1983.
4. Urruty N, Tailliez-Lefebvr, D, Huyghe, C. Stability, robustness, vulnerability and resilience of agricultural systems. *A review Agronomy for sustainability development.*2016; 36: 15.
5. Friggens, N.C., Blanc, F., Berry, D.P. and PUILLET, L. (2017). Review: Deciphering animal robustness. *Asynthesis to facilitate its use in livestock breeding and management.* *Animal*, 11:12, 2237-2251.
6. Tedeschi LO, Greenwood PL, Halachmi I. Advancements in sensor technology and decision support intelligent tools to assist smart livestock farming. *J Anim Sci.* 2021;1;99:2:skab038.

7. Stygar AH, Dolecheck KA, Kristensen AR. Analyses of body weight patterns in growing pigs: a new view on body weight in pigs for frequent monitoring. *Animal*. 2018 ;12:2::295-302.
8. Scheffer M, Bolhuis JE, Borsboom D, Buchman TG, Gijzel SM, Goulson D, et al. Quantifying resilience of humans and other animals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2018; 20:47:11883-90.
9. Sun Z, Samarasinghe S, Jago J. Detection of mastitis and its stage of progression by automatic milking systems using artificial neural networks. *J Dairy Res*. 2010; 772:168-75.
10. Puillet L, Réale D, Friggens NC. Disentangling the relative roles of resource acquisition and allocation on animal feed efficiency: insights from a dairy cow model. *Genet Selection Evol*. 2016;48:1-6.
11. Nguyen-Ba H, van Milgen J, Taghipoor M. A procedure to quantify the feed intake response of growing pigs to perturbations. *Animal*. 2020 ;14: 2:253-60.
12. Petris G, Petrone S, Campagnoli P. *Dynamic linear models with R*. Springer Science & Business Media; 2009.
13. Sadoul B, Martin O, Prunet P, Friggens NC. On the use of a simple physical system analogy to study robustness features in animal sciences. *PLoS One*. 2015 ;10:8:e0137333.
14. Vargas-Villamil LM, Tedeschi LO, Medina-Peralta S, Izquierdo-Reyes F, Navarro-Alberto J, González-Garduño R. A multi-inverse approach for a holistic understanding of applied animal science systems. *Animal*. 2020;14:S2:s238-49.
15. Werkheiser I. Precision livestock farming and farmers' duties to livestock. *J Agric Environ Ethics*. 2018 ;31:181-95.
16. Wolfert S, Ge L, Verdouw C, Bogaardt MJ. Big data in smart farming—a review. *Agric Systems*. 2017 ;1:153:69-80.

17. Kester HJ, Sorter DE, Hogan JS. Activity and milk compositional changes following experimentally induced *Streptococcus uberis* bovine mastitis. *J. Dairy Sci.*2015; 98:2:999-1004.
18. Kuraoka K, Nakamura K. The use of nasal skin temperature measurements in studying emotion in macaque monkeys. *Physio Behav.*2011; 102: 347-355.
19. Proctor HS, Carder G. Nasal temperatures in dairy cows are influenced by positive emotional state. *Physio Behav.*2011; 138:340-344.
20. Van Huffel A, Zwertvaegher I, Van Weyenberg S, Pastell M, Thorup VM, Bahr C, Sonck B, Saeys W. Lameness Detection in Dairy Cows: Part 2. Use of Sensors to Automatically Register Changes in Locomotion or Behavior. *Animals.*2015; 5:3: 861–885.
21. Winckler C, Tucker CB, Weary, DM. Effects of under- and overstocking free stalls on dairy cattle behaviour. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* .2015;170: 14–19
22. Alsaad M, Büscher W. Detection of hoof lesions using digital infrared thermography in dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci*; 2012 95, 735-742.
23. Costa AN, JV, Feitosa, PA, Montezuma Jr, PT, de Souza, AA de Araujo. Rectal temperatures, respiratory rates, production, and reproduction performances of crossbred Girolando cows under heat stress in northeastern Brazil. *Int J Biometeorol.*2015b; 59:11:1647-1653
24. Moallem UG, Altmark H, Lehrer A, Arieli. 2010. Performance of highyielding dairy cows supplemented with fat or concentrate under hot and humid climates.2010; *J Dairy Sci.* 93:7:3192-3202.
25. Ollion E, Ingrand S, Delaby L, Trommenschlager JM, Colette-Leurent S, Blanc F. Assessing the diversity of trade-offs between life functions in early lactation dairy cows. *Livest Sci.*2016; 183: 98-107
26. Costa ANJV, Feitosa PA, Junior PT, de Souza, AA, de Araujo. Hormonal profiles, physiological parameters, and productive and reproductive performances of Girolando cows in the state of Ceara-Brazil. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* 2015a; 59:2:231-236.

27. De Goede, DM, Gremmen B, Blom-Zandstra M. Robust agriculture: Balancing between vulnerability and stability. NJAS-Wageningen. J Life Sci. 2013; 64: 1-7.

UNDER PEER REVIEW