

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_113806
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Hairamin and fertilizer application on grain yield and its attributes in wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) varieties
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Comments are provided in the main text. Overall, this agricultural paper is poorly written. The main issue is the clarity of abstract, methodology, results presentation especially on the factorial experiment on the information of source of variation of complete analysis variance, The authors have himalayan task to improve the standard of paper. The grammatical and spelling mistakes are high in the text. Please do not revert back to the present peer reviewer without changes as commented in the text and here. Please take note that it is not the job of peer reviewer to improve the English of the text. English corrections to be done by the authors. Even with full corrections of comments as stated in the text, the authors will have to go through one or more rounds of reviews.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joshua Mathews
Department, University & Country	Indonesia