

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_113126
Title of the Manuscript:	LAND SURFACE TEMPERATURE STUDY OF WEST TRIPURA DISTRICT, INDIA
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes. The methodology, scientific and technical expertise of author will benefit the scientific community</p> <p>Given the depth of the study, the word Spatio-temporal should be introduced into the topic. <b>SPATIO-TEMPORAL ANALYSIS OF LAND SURFACE TEMPERATURE IN WEST TRIPURA DISTRICT, INDIA</b></p> <p>It is suggested to include a sentence or two about the technical methodology in the abstract if word count permits this</p> <p>YES YES</p> <p>References should be in Alphabetical order for easy of reference. The references seems insufficient BUT given there is no section for Literature review, It seems this is more a technical paper. If the Methodology are not novel to the author, It is advisable to cite and reference similar use of the methodology.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Figure 1 should be within one frame as a map with the inserts inside the single frame in an organized manner that helps the understanding of the study area.</p> <p>A LST study should have table(s) showing the result summary rather than prose narratives. Hence, 2.2.1.1, 2.2.1.2, and 2.2.1.3 should be presented in tabular form. Tables are best suggested self-explanatory and most scientific way to present the summary results</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Princewill Odum
Department, University & Country	University of Calabar, Nigeria