

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_113196
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceived Causes of Vulnerable Employment among Rural Youth in Agricultural Endeavors: Insights and Recommendations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Is the title of the article suitable? Title needs improvement</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? The abstract needs an overhaul The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of Perceived Causes of Vulnerable Employment among Rural Youth:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. **Clarity and Structure**: The abstract is well structured and clearly presents the background, objectives, methodology and results of the research. This makes it easier for readers to follow the research process. 2. **Key Points**: The abstract effectively highlights key points, such as the activities and empowerment of Rural Youth which emphasizes the importance of the potential for agriculture-based employment. 3. **Methodology**: The abstract provides a brief overview of the research methodology, including the use of questionnaires, interviews, and specific analysis methods. This gives the reader an insight into how the research was conducted. 4. **Results**: The abstract summarizes the results effectively, which shows that Rural Youth are categorized as quite vulnerable based on various perspectives of employment opportunities in the agricultural sector. 5. **Policy Recommendations**: The abstract concludes with a call for government support and improvements in the factors that influence Rural Youth in efforts to support agricultural development. This provides clear direction for policy makers and stakeholders. <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? The structure of the manuscript needs to be completed, especially the research results need to be compared with the results of previous research. Do the research results support or reject, or are in line with previous research? Or the author must be able to explain that the research results are something new in scientific contributions and for policy makers</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? The structure of the manuscript needs to be adjusted to the journal rules. Very weak from a scientific perspective. The author mostly expresses personal opinions with very weak levels of scientific values</p> <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. There is no conformity of references with literary sources in the manuscript. There are quite a lot of references, but not found in the text</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>need language improvement</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Almasdi Syahza
Department, University & Country	Universitas Riau, Indonesia