

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_113433
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Commuters' Income on the Ferry Operational costs in Lagos State.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript provides valuable insights into the intersection of transportation, economics, and urban development. Its findings and recommendations contribute to the academic discourse on transportation planning and policy implementation, making it important for the scientific community, especially researchers, policymakers, and practitioners working in the fields of urban studies, transportation economics, and public policy in my point of view. The title of the article is suitable. The abstract succinctly presents the study's aims, approach, main results, and conclusions. It effectively outlines the research focus, methodology, findings, and implications. In summary, while the abstract offers a solid summary of the study, it would benefit from more detailed information. The manuscript would benefit from reorganising its structure to enhance clarity. Specifically, it is suggested that the literature review and result findings be presented separately, with the literature review included in the methodology section. This adjustment would improve the flow of the manuscript and ensure that the methodology section remains focused on the study's approach. Yes to my knowledge it is scientifically correct. Yes the references are sufficient and recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The manuscript contains numerous minor grammatical errors that are not appropriate for the calibre of journal to which it is being submitted.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The structure of the survey should be explained where there are results and discussion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kenil Thakkar
Department, University & Country	Lok Jagruti Kendra University, India