

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_113406
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY ON MAJOR DISEASE-INSECT INCIDENCE OF SCENTED GOBINDABHOG RICE IN NEW ALLUVIAL ZONE OF WEST BENGAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community, and for all communities</p> <p>No. The title should be corrected to (A STUDY ON THE MAJOR DISEASES AND INSECT PESTS INCIDENCE on SCENTED GOBINDABHOG RICE IN NEW ALLUVIAL ZONE OF WEST BENGAL)</p> <p>The abstract should contain a concise introduction, the aim of the work, a concise method of work, brief results, and recommendations.</p> <p>They need some improvements as follow: There are some grammar and language mistakes that should be corrected in the whole paper. Any abbreviation should be written in detail for the first time mentioned. That should be applied on the whole paper. Introduction should end with the aim of work. Any measuring unit should be written without space between it and the measuring number. That should be applied on the whole paper. The cited references should be written by a united method in the whole paper. Also, the cited references should be written by one of the following methods: if authors follow the arrangement of appearance of these references in the text thus they should be written by their arrangement numbers), and also these cited references should be written in the references according to their appearance arrangement number inside the text. In contrast, if authors follow the writing of these references as names of the authors inside the text thus these references should be written as follows(The first author's name et al.,(the year of the publication)). Also, these cited references should be written in the references in alphabetical arrangement. Finally, the authors should follow one of these methods that is required by the journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samah Metwally Mahmoud Abd EL-Aziz
Department, University & Country	The National Research Centre, Egypt