

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_112841
Title of the Manuscript:	Simulating Allergic Rhinitis infections in Adults with HIV-AIDS using Runge-Kutta Fourth Order Method in SIR Model
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The paper is interesting for the scientific community. The title is fine. The abstract should be more clear about the novelty of the study. The structure is fine. Yes, I did not find mathematical mistakes.</p> <p>No, the references are lacking. The field of epidemiological modelling is quite large, especially since 2020. The authors did a poor work in showing relevant related work to the reader. I suggest the authors to take a look on recent review paper in the field such as: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2023.110422 In particular, the reviewers might consider to include the following or similar studies: 1. www.ijeas.org/download_data/IJEAS0601022.pdf 2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2020.103772 3. https://doi.org/10.1002/adts.202200631 4. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004876</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English is somewhat problematic. It will be beneficial if the authors use a professional English editing services.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Teddy Lazebnik
Department, University & Country	Ariel University, Israel University College, United Kingdom