

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_112841
Title of the Manuscript:	Simulating Allergic Rhinitis infections in Adults with HIV-AIDS using Runge-Kutta Fourth Order Method in SIR Model
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important to scientific communities as the results in this study suggest that after a given number of days, most of the population under investigation becomes infected with allergic rhinitis, which displaces the virus. This will give medical personnel to know how to manage the virus under study. The title is suitable with little adjustment The abstract need to be recasted. The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate I believe the manuscript is scientifically correct. The references are sufficient but more recent references are needed. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language quality of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The scholars put in their best to review and explain the subject matter. The manuscript is worthy to be publish after effecting the stated corrections.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samuel Adamu
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Army University, Nigeria