

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_113672
Title of the Manuscript:	Floristic diversity and carbon stock of woody stands in some sacred forests in the West Cameroon region
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This work is appreciable in the field of forestry. The work in the field if forest is important to understand the interaction between forest and environment so yes, the work in important for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes, the title is related to the work.</p> <p>It can be improved, and words need to be used as per the data provided in paper.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Recent references need to be added for forest data of FAO, IUCN and any other organizations.</p> <p>Suggestions are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all the tables replace comma with decimal point. Write botanical names in <i>italics</i> everywhere, so go through it line by line. There is no statistical data and method is given in paper, in my point of view it is important to provide the data with statistical analysis. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There is need to improve the language. I have marked in some places but please go through the complete paper for this. Numbers of writing mistakes are present.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Paper can be accepted after including corrections.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jyoti Pandey
Department, University & Country	India