

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_113951
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE SONOGRAPHIC EVALUATION OF THE GALLBLADDER IN SICKLE CELL DISEASE PATIENTS AND APPARENTLY HEALTHY NON-SICKLE CELL DISEASE INDIVIDUALS IN A NIGERIAN TOWN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the manuscript is of importance to the scientific community since it tries to show ultrasound features of the gallbladder in SCD patients and advocates for early ultrasound examination of the gallbladder in such patients The title of the article is suitable The abstract is okey. However, there is need to make a few corrections as highlighted in the manuscript Under results in the manuscripts, I have noted and recommended that tables and figures be appropriately situated. Most of the tables and figures were situated in inappropriate places in the manuscripts Yes, I do think the manuscript is scientifically correct since it is intended to address abnormalities of the gallbladder in SCD patients The references are adequate, but the majority of them are outdated. It would have been appropriate if the references were within 10 years from first publication 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, it does, though I have made some suggestions in a few areas of the manuscript where the English is ambiguous and needs to be clear</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Comments have been made in the manuscript where the author/s need to concentrate to make the manuscript better. Though the manuscript does not seem to bring in novel information, it is scientifically robust and technically sound</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oliver Sutherland
Department, University & Country	Evelyn Hone College, University of Zambia, Levy Mwanawasa Medical University, Zambia