

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_113951
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE SONOGRAPHIC EVALUATION OF THE GALLBLADDER IN SICKLE CELL DISEASE PATIENTS AND APPARENTLY HEALTHY NON-SICKLE CELL DISEASE INDIVIDUALS IN A NIGERIAN TOWN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The title is suitable and appropriate</p> <p>The Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>They are appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct, with a proper and suitable description of the methods, selection of patients and controls and the modalities used for the study.</p> <p>The references are sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the Introduction, the authors said "This in turn, under deoxygenated conditions leads to gelation in which there is intra-erythrocytic hydrophobic interaction of sickle haemoglobin precipitation and then eventual formation of hemoglobin S (HbS. This statement needs to be revised.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	George Tregson Roberts
Department, University & Country	Canada