

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRHE_113813
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment of Hepatoprotective Activity of Catharanthus roseus on CCL4 induced Rat Model with Safety Profile Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The study has been performed to evaluate the hepatoprotective activity of the extract with simultaneous administration of CCl4. However it is evident from the result that only the highest concentration offers a reduction in SGOT, and all other parameters remain ineffective. The hyperlipidemic effects of CR have been subjected to many studies, hence the results of groups 8,9,10 do not bring novelty to the study. Please be specific about the outcome of your work.</p> <p>Neither the abstract nor the conclusion describes anything about CCl4 toxicity. It only mentioned the antihyperlipidemic effects of the extract which has been already subjected to much research work.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The third sentence in the Abstract does not imply the intended meaning, please correct the sentence</p> <p>2. Justify why <i>in vitro</i> tests were not carried out on the extract before <i>in vivo</i> testing and the criteria for dose selection.</p> <p>3. There are a few errors in the manuscript, most of it has been tagged with a comment. Please give clarifications and correct them.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lekha Mathew
Department, University & Country	Westfort College of Pharmacy, Kerala University of Health Sciences, India