

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMPCP_113981</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Prevalence, Attitudes and Smoking Habits among Healthcare Professionals in Southwest Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The manuscript is indeed important for the scientific community as it addresses a critical public health issue: smoking among healthcare professionals in Southwest Nigeria. Smoking among healthcare workers not only affects their own health but also influences patient care and public health efforts. By examining the prevalence, attitudes, and smoking habits among this population, the study provides valuable insights for designing targeted interventions to promote smoking cessation and create smoke-free healthcare environments.</p> <p>The title of the article, "Prevalence, Attitudes and Smoking Habits among Healthcare Professionals in Southwest Nigeria," is suitable as it accurately reflects the scope and focus of the study.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive as it succinctly summarizes the background, methods, results, and conclusions of the study. It provides essential information about the prevalence of smoking among healthcare professionals in Southwest Nigeria, key findings regarding attitudes and smoking habits, and implications for tobacco control interventions.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, as they follow a logical sequence from introduction to methods, results, discussion, and conclusion. Each section effectively addresses specific aspects of the research question, providing relevant information and analysis.</p> <p>The manuscript appears scientifically correct based on the information provided. The study utilized a robust methodology, including a cross-sectional design, multistage cluster sampling, and statistical analysis using SPSS. The results are presented clearly with appropriate tables and figures, and the discussion provides a thorough interpretation of the findings in the context of existing literature.</p> <p>The references cited in the manuscript appear to be relevant and sufficient to support the study's background, methods, and discussion. However, it is essential to ensure that the references are up-to-date and include recent studies on smoking prevalence, attitudes, and cessation interventions among healthcare professionals, particularly in the context of Nigeria and similar regions. Some additional references that could be considered include recent systematic reviews or meta-analyses on smoking among healthcare workers, studies on the effectiveness of smoking cessation programs in healthcare settings, and reports on tobacco control policies and initiatives in Nigeria.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Based on the provided article, the language and English quality seem suitable for scholarly communications. The article follows a structured format commonly seen in scholarly research papers, including sections such as abstract, introduction, methods, results, discussion, and conclusion. The language used is clear, concise, and appropriate for academic writing, with technical terms and concepts related to the study of smoking prevalence among healthcare professionals explained effectively.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Hammad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shifa Tameer-e-Millat University, Pakistan</b>