

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_113435
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional practices and levels of anxiety among young sportspersons in Kerala
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article is focused on local experience, but this does not limit the interest of the research for an international audience. Therefore, the manuscript is important for scientific community. 2. The article title is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. The manuscript is quite structured. 5. The manuscript is completely scientifically correct. 6. The references are stale and too few. Additional sources are required. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The English quality of the article is sufficient. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscript Ms_AJFRN_113435 meets the general requirements. 2. Quantitative and qualitative indicators are presented in the research. 3. The chosen methodology is justified. 4. Manuscript needs Minor Revision! <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors should indicate how the initial questionnaire was conducted; what questions were asked. 2. It is advisable for the authors to substantiate the feedback of this problem: an increase in the level of anxiety of athletes stimulates changes in nutritional practices. This can lead to negative consequences in sports. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivan Okhrimenko
Department, University & Country	National Academy of Internal Affairs, Ukraine