

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_114296
Title of the Manuscript:	Reading Attitude and Learning Motivation as Predictors of Reading Comprehension
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript titled "Reading Attitude and Learning Motivation as Predictors of Reading Comprehension" holds significant importance for the scientific community. In an era where understanding the intricacies of learning and comprehension is crucial, this research provides valuable insights into the interplay between reading attitude, learning motivation, and their predictive roles in determining reading comprehension. By exploring these factors, the manuscript contributes to the existing body of knowledge, offering potential avenues for enhancing educational strategies and interventions. Researchers, educators, and policymakers can benefit from the findings to improve literacy programs and foster a deeper understanding of the cognitive aspects influencing reading comprehension in diverse learning environments.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study, detailing its purpose, methodology, key findings, and implications.</p> <p>4. Need some modification</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript demonstrates a strong scientific foundation, with well-supported methods and results.</p> <p>7. Yes, the references provided are generally relevant and contribute to the manuscript's context. However, updating with more recent literature would strengthen the paper's currency and comprehensiveness.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The article exhibits a commendable standard of English, meeting the criteria for scholarly communication effectively. The language is precise, maintaining a scholarly tone throughout.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Your abstract is well-crafted, with just a suggestion for a minor improvement in result part of your manuscript. 2. Your methodology section utilizes the person-product movement correlation, shedding light on the relationship's magnitude between variables. Considering the captivating title, "Reading Attitude and Learning Motivation as Predictors of Reading Comprehension," I would like to suggest exploring the application of linear regression. It could offer a more direct insight into predictive relationships. Your current approach is noted and acceptable, and I appreciate the thoughtfulness in your research design. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

	<p>3. In the methodology section, I'd like to suggest a slight reordering for a more sequential flow. Consider revising as follows: (2.1): Design and Procedure (2.2): Research Respondent (2.3): Material and Instrument</p> <p>This adjustment aims to enhance the clarity and logical progression of your methodology, ensuring a smoother reading experience for your audience.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjib Gahir
Department, University & Country	Indira Gandhi National Tribal University, India