

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_113300</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE MODERATING EFFECT OF BOARD INDEPENDENCE ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE AND CORPORATE RISK AMONG FIRMS LISTED AT THE NAIROBI SECURITIES EXCHANGE, KENYA</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>1. Yes , it is important. Academicians get to know the impact of independence of board on the the relationship. However, introduction needs to be framed more concisely</b> detailed findings must be in review of literature. The brief descriptions of the various sections of the paper should also be included. Reviews to be written chronologically or clubbing can be done as per the their findings. Discussions section needs to be more compiled in nature.</p> <p>2. "Examining the Moderating Effect of Board Independence on Ownership Structure and Corporate Risk: A Case Study of Nairobi Securities Exchange" is a suggestion</p> <p>3. It is lacking the name of methodology.</p> <p>4. Theoretical Foundation and empirical literature can come under the Review of Literature. It should be framed as follows 1. Introduction, 2 Review of Literature ( 2.1Theoretical foundations and 2.2 Empirical Literature), 3. Research Problem , 4. Conceptual Framework 5. Research Methodology 6. Research Findings (6.1 Descriptive statistics of Variables studied 6.2 Outcomes of Regression Model) 7. Discussions 8. Conclusions</p> <p>Yes, it is correct.</p> <p>Yes it is.</p> <p>Briefly explain that how is it providing insights for these stakeholders in the conclusion part. Discussion part need to stated more construct fully and clubbing is required.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It can be made more fluent and impressive. It is suggested for the author to do the needful.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aastha Khera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kurukshetra University, India</b>