

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_113987
Title of the Manuscript:	Current Strategies for Management of Plant Viruses and Future perspectives: Enhancing Crop Health, Yield and Productivity
Type of the Article	Literature review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community, providing a comprehensive overview of current and future strategies for managing plant viruses and addressing global challenges in agriculture.</p> <p>2- Yes, the title is suitable, accurately reflecting the manuscript's focus on current strategies and future perspectives for enhancing crop health, yield, and productivity.</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract is comprehensive, succinctly summarizing the article's key points and conveying the importance of plant virus management.</p> <p>4- Yes, the manuscript is well-structured with clear subsections, facilitating readability and understanding and using figures to enhance visualization.</p> <p>5- Yes, the manuscript appears scientifically accurate, providing detailed and well-supported information on various plant virus management strategies.</p> <p>6- References are relevant, but adding a few more recent studies, especially in rapidly evolving fields, could enhance the manuscript's currency.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article appear suitable for scholarly communication. The text is well-written, coherent, and uses appropriate scientific language. There are no apparent issues with grammar or clarity that would hinder the understanding of the content.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the article is a valuable contribution to the literature on plant virus management, and its thorough exploration of various strategies makes it a relevant resource for researchers and professionals in the field.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noha Nassar
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt