

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_114326
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative analysis of Organic and Conventional Tomato cultivation in North-western Himalayas
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript appears to be valuable for the scientific community. The study focuses on a comparative analysis of organic and conventional tomato cultivation in the North-western Himalayas, which is relevant given the increasing global interest in sustainable and organic farming practices. The study is conducted in the Sirmour district of Himachal Pradesh, contributing regional insights. 2. The title "Comparative Analysis of Organic and Conventional Tomato Cultivation in North-western Himalayas: A Case Study of Sirmour District, Himachal Pradesh" appears to be generally suitable. It effectively communicates the key elements of the study. 3. Abstract: Consider refining the abstract to provide a more concise overview of the study's objectives, methods, and key findings. 4. Subsection and structure: The manuscript follows a logical structure, moving from abstract to introduction, methodology, results, and discussion. The use of tables helps in presenting data clearly. However, table needs to header on each row (e.g., Table 1). 5. I appreciate the thorough exploration of the topic in this manuscript. While the methodology is well-described and the introduction establishes a solid foundation, there is an opportunity to strengthen the topic discussion by incorporating insights from previous studies. Building on recent research related to the topic would not only enhance the scientific validity of the study but also contribute to drawing more robust conclusions. I recommend the authors consider integrating findings from a more recent study to further support and enrich their discussion. 6. References: while some references are recent, there's room to include more up-to-date studies, especially for topics with evolving trends such as organic farming and agricultural statistics. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The text is well-structured, uses appropriate terminology related to agriculture and organic farming, and presents information in a formal manner. It's essential to ensure consistency in language use, clarity, and adherence to academic writing standards throughout the entire document. 2. Proofreading for grammar and typographical errors is recommended to enhance the overall quality of scholarly communication. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is comprehensive, and providing a thorough understanding of the research context. 2. Ensure a consistent citation style throughout the document. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nor Afifah Bt Yusof
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia