

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_113532
Title of the Manuscript:	In silico characterization of banana bunchy top virus (BBTV) and its genetic variation globally
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes, manuscripts are important to the scientific community</li> <li>2. the title of the article is appropriate</li> <li>3. The abstract does not clearly show the research objectives</li> <li>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate but the contents of the manuscript are too long, usually a maximum of 15 pages. The conclusion is too long, it should be adjusted to the research objectives</li> <li>5. In general, the manuscript has fulfilled scientific principles</li> <li>6. References are sufficient, but most of them have years of publication that are past, so it is necessary to replace and cite the most recent references. It's best for writers to use references source that are no more than 5 years old</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	sufficient	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Endang Nurcahyani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Lampung, Indonesia</b>