

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_113628</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Optimization of Transportation Cost of Steel Reinforcement for some Construction Projects of Julius Berger</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes it is. The continuation from previous research (mentioned at "This study is a continuation of a series of research from [11]....", and mathematical model for real case study are important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The authors probably better using title such as "the application of mathematical...."</p> <p>3. The authors need to complete the background problem, the reason using those tools, and the findings. The last sentence "the results were found to be the same" need to be explained further.</p> <p>4. It's well structured.</p> <p>5. The authors need to describe briefly about math notation.</p> <p>6. From the author's reference list, it's better add 3 references.</p> <p>Consideration to add to the article:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Advantage-disadvantage each methods.</li> <li>● Brief explanation the reason comparing with Microsoft Excel.</li> <li>● The most suitable method for this case.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ary Tri Wibowo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology, Indonesia</b>