

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114164
Title of the Manuscript:	Heterotic analysis on Accessions of Rice (Oryza Sativa L.) in Drought Tolerant rice accessions
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>In the rising demand for food grains, the subject fits to the scientific need</p> <p>'Estimation of heterosis in yield-related traits of drought-tolerant rice (Oryza Sativa L.) accessions' or 'Heterotic analysis (Oryza Sativa L.) in Drought Tolerant rice accessions'</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The results and discussion part need to be rewritten for improvement of the manuscript. The present one may fit to write a thesis but not a research article, that part can be improved.</p> <p>Recent references may be added</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The manuscript can be improved further, the author needs to concentrate on the results and discussion part. The table legends have to be written clearly, such as checks in parenthesis to improve the clarity	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Baghyalakshmi
Department, University & Country	ICAR, CICR, India