

# Heterotic analysis on Accessions of Rice (*Oryza Sativa L.*) in Drought Tolerant rice accessions

***I suggest revising the English.***

## Abstract

Water-deficit stress tolerance in rice is important for maintaining stable yield, especially under rain-fed ecosystem. After a thorough drought-tolerance screening of 200 rice genotypes from DBT, Network Rice Project in our previous study, 19 rice lines were selected for drought tolerance capacity. Six randomly chosen rice accessions out of these 19 rice accessions were further used for creation of F1 Hybrids for heterosis study. The study investigates the heterosis effects on key agronomic traits in rice hybrids, including days to 50% flowering, plant height, number of effective tillers per plant, spikelet fertility, grain yield per plant, and 100-grain weight. Analysis reveals diverse heterotic effects across hybrid combinations, with some hybrids exhibiting significant negative heterosis for traits like days to flowering and plant height, indicating potential for early maturation and dwarfism, respectively. Conversely, positive heterosis is observed in traits such as number of effective tillers, spikelet fertility, grain yield, and 100-grain weight, highlighting the potential for enhanced productivity. Notably, certain hybrids consistently display strong positive heterosis across multiple traits, suggesting their suitability for breeding programs aimed at improving overall yield and agronomic performance.

**Key words:** Drought, standard heterosis, mid parent heterosis, heterobeltosis, line x tester, rice

***- Keywords must not be in the title.***

## Introduction

Drought is the leading threat to agricultural food production, especially in the cultivation of rice, a semi-aquatic plant. Drought tolerance is a complex quantitative trait with a complicated phenotype that affects different developmental stages in plants. Furthermore, to feed the excess population in third-world countries like India, the development of hybrid rice technology might be an inventive genetic approach for narrowing the gap between rice production and demand, as well as augmentation of rice yield up to 15–20% over the current

high yielding variety (Virmani *et al.* 2003; Virmani *et al.* 2007; Tiwari *et al.* 2011; Kumar *et al.* 2019; Azad *et al.* 2022). However, rice breeders are facing many challenges in increasing the production of rice [Gramaje *et al.* 2020] due to the decline in rice-growing areas, scarcity of water and labour, and impending intimidations of abiotic and biotic stresses.

In Asia, rice plays a central role in politics, society and culture, directly or indirectly employs more people than any other sector. Farmers must produce good yields without endangering the environment in order to earn a solid living and give the people who eat rice a nutritious, reasonably priced staple food. This is supported by the fact that a robust rice research industry can lower expenses, boost output, and guarantee environmental sustainability. It seems that the most practical and easily adjustable method for raising rice yield levels is hybrid rice technology. In India and outside, a great deal of study is being done on various facets of hybrid rice (Begum *et al.* 2020). The success of the hybrid rice programme depends on the degree of heterosis, which also aids in the identification of possible cross combinations to be used in the conventional breeding programme to create a wide range of variability in the segregating generations. Several pioneer hybrids have demonstrated a yield advantage of about 20% over current three-line hybrids on a commercial scale. (Krishna Veni and Sobha Rani, 2003; Begum *et al.* 2020). Hybrid rice technology has provided a significant contribution to food security and employment opportunities. Hybrid rice has superior grain yield to inbred rice cultivars and is one of the most significant applications of heterosis in crops (Liu *et al.* 2020)

The goal of this work was to find good performing F1 hybrids based on the performance of the estimates of heterosis (Matzinger *et al.* 1962), heterobeltiosis (Fonseca and Patterson 1968), and standard heterosis (comparison of F1 with the best commercial variety). Data from the replicated tests were analysed.

**- I suggest inserting biographical references from the last five years.**

## **Materials and Methods**

Heterosis study six randomly chosen genotypes from the promising accessions found based on the drought tolerance were taken as testers and five commercial lines were mated in line into tester mating design, and simultaneously two drought tolerant standard checks were

taken for the analysis of standard heterosis. Lists of lines testers and standard checks is mentioned in table 1.

**Table 1. List of accessions used under Line X Tester mating design**

Lines	Testers	Standard checks
Swarna	IC458657	IGKV R2
RRF 140	IC206758	DRR DHAN 42
Dagad desi	IC516693	
IR 64	IC460497	
Maheshwari	IC463878	
	IC514866	

### Statistical analysis

Heterosis was calculated using the overall average of each hybrid over the replication for each trait. The percent of heterosis for each trait in  $F_1$  was worked out by applying the method proposed by Liang *et al.*, (1972) in relation to mid parent (average heterosis), better parent (heterobeltiosis) and standard checks (standard heterosis).

#### Average/Mid-parent heterosis

It was estimated as percent deviation of a mean value of  $F_1$  from its mid-parental value.

$$\text{Average heterosis} = \frac{\overline{F1} - \overline{MP}}{\overline{MP}} \times 100$$

Where,

$\overline{F1}$  = Mean performance of hybrid

$\overline{MP}$  = Mean performance of both parent involved in the crosses

#### Heterobeltiosis

It was estimated as the deviation of hybrid mean from the mean of better parent.

$$\text{Heterobeltiosis} = \frac{\overline{F1} - \overline{BP}}{\overline{BP}} \times 100$$

Where,

$\overline{BP}$  = Mean performance of better parent

## Standard heterosis

It is also known as useful heterosis and was estimated as the percent deviation of hybrid mean from the mean of standard check.

$$\text{Average heterosis} = \frac{\overline{F1} - \overline{SC}}{\overline{SC}} \times 100$$

Where,

$\overline{SC}$  = Mean performance of the standard check

The significance of different types of heterosis was assessed by adopting 't' test as suggested by Snedecor and Cochran (1967):

't cal' for mid-parent heterosis =

$$\text{'t cal' for heterobeltiosis} = \frac{F1-BP}{\sqrt{(2EMS/r)}}$$

$$\text{'t cal' for standard heterosis} = \frac{F1-SC}{\sqrt{(2EMS/r)}}$$

Where,

EMS = Error mean sum of squares in the ANOVA table

r = Number of replications

After finding the 't' calculated value it was compared to the tabulated 't' value at corresponding error degrees of freedom for each trait.

**I suggest that the methodology be more detailed.**

## Result and discussion

### Heterosis Studies

“Investigations on heterosis provides fundamental information regarding the utility of the cross combinations and its use for commercial exploitation. The magnitude of heterosis for grain yield, yield components and quality traits depends to a large extent on genetic variation, genetic base and adaptability of parents. The presence of significant amount of non-additive gene action is a prerequisite for the commercial exploitation of heterosis in rice”.

“A program to produce hybrid population may be initiated for a number of reasons. A partial listing of these would include existence of a significant amount of dominance variance, a requirement for high degree of uniformity in the harvested product, a need for flexibility in the program and the availability of cytoplasmic sterility. The demonstration of heterosis in crop is not adequate justification for the establishment of a program to produce hybrids. The existence of heterosis shows two things, some degree of genetic diversity between parents and some degree of dominance. Heterosis can arise when many loci are involved, if for each locus, the heterozygote is slightly super to the mid-parental value. The existence of therefore, provides no guide as to the degree of dominance. Such information must be derived from specifically designed experiments”.

The Heterosis over mid parent (Relative heterosis), over better parent (heterobeltiosis) and over standard check (standard heterosis/ useful heterosis) was estimated for all the characters under study. The estimates of mid parent, better parent and standard heterosis are given in table 2.

#### **Days to 50% flowering**

The relative heterosis for this trait ranged from -21.33 % (RRF 140 X IC458657) to 20.43 % (Swarna X IC516693). Among hybrids, two hybrids showed significant negative heterosis and one hybrid showed significant positive heterosis for this trait. The highest significant negative heterosis over mid parent recorded by cross RRF 140 X IC458657 (-21.33 %) followed by RRF 140 X IC514866 (-15.37 %).

The heterobeltiosis ranged from -25.25 % (RRF 140 X IC458657) to 4.98% (Swarna X IC516693). Among hybrids, six hybrids showed significant negative heterosis and one hybrids showed significant positive heterosis for this trait. The highest significant negative heterosis over better parent recorded by cross RRF 140 X IC458657 (-25.25 %) followed by RRF 140 X IC514866 (-22.26 %), RRF 140 X IC516693 (-21.26), RRF 140 X IC460497 (-18.27), RRF 140 X IC463878 (-17.28) and RRF 140 X IC206758 (-16.61).

The standard heterosis (over IGKV R2) ranged from -1.32 % (RRF 140 X IC458657) to 22.81 % (Swarna X IC516693). Among hybrids, none of the hybrids showed significant negative heterosis and one hybrids showed positive heterosis for this trait.

The standard heterosis (over DRR DHAN 42) ranged from -2.17% (RRF 140 X IC458657) to 21.74 % (Swarna X IC516693). Among hybrids, none of the hybrids showed significant negative heterosis and one hybrids showed highly significant positive heterosis for this trait.

Negative heterosis is desirable for days to flowering because this will make the hybrids to mature earlier as compared to parents. Testers IC458657 showed highest significant negative estimates for mid and better parent heterosis. Tester IC458657 showed significant negative value for all heterotic estimates. IC458657 with IR 64 showed heterobeltiosis and relative heterosis in negative direction. It can also be used for developing early maturing hybrids.

The analysis of days to 50% flowering highlights a diverse range of heterosis values across hybrid combinations, indicating significant potential for influencing flowering time in breeding programs. Notably, hybrids involving RRF 140 consistently exhibited substantial negative heterosis, particularly notable in comparisons with both mid and better parent values. This suggests a genetic predisposition towards early flowering, making RRF 140 a promising candidate for developing early maturing hybrids. Tester IC458657 consistently demonstrated significant negative estimates across various heterotic measures, further underscoring its suitability for facilitating early maturation traits in hybrids. Additionally, the preference for negative heterosis aligns with the objective of achieving earlier maturity compared to parental lines.

Heterosis in both “negative and positive direction for days to flowering has also been reported by Murthy and Kulkarni (1996)”. Similar result was also obtained by Rahimi *et al.* (2010), Sanghera and Hussain, (2012), Premkumar *et al.* (2017) and Awad-Allah, (2020).

### **Plant height (cm)**

The mid parent heterosis ranged from -19.42 % (RRF 140 X IC514866) to 35.50 % (Dagad desi X IC463878). Among hybrids, three hybrids showed significant positive relative heterosis and five hybrids showed highly significant negative relative heterosis for this trait. Highest negative heterosis in plant height was obtained by cross RRF 140 X IC514866 (-19.42) followed by RRF 140 X IC206758 (-19.25), Maheshwari X IC514866 (-15.05), IR64 X IC206758 (-13.20) and RRF 140 X IC458657 (-12.13).

The heterobeltiosis ranged from -26.43 % (RRF 140 X IC206758) to 22.20 % (Dagad desi X IC463878). Among hybrids, six hybrids showed significant negative better heterosis, one hybrid showed significant positive heterosis for this trait. Highest negative heterobeltiosis in plant height was obtained by cross RRF 140 X IC206758 (-26.43) followed by RRF 140 X IC514866 (-23.50), Maheshwari X IC514866 (-19.79), RRF 140 X IC458657 (-19.70) and RRF 140 X IC463878 (-19.85).

The standard heterosis (over IGKV R2) ranged from -15.21% (IR64 X IC206758) to 30.29 % (Dagad desi X IC463878). Among hybrids, two hybrid showed significant negative heterosis and two hybrids showed positive significant heterosis for this trait. Highest negative standard heterosis in plant height was obtained by cross IR64 X IC206758 (-15.21) followed by RRF 140 X IC206758 (-14.51).

The standard heterosis (over DRR DHAN 42) ranged from -0.29 % (IR64 X IC206758) to 53.22 % (Dagad desi X IC463878). Among hybrids, none of them showed significant negative heterosis and nineteen hybrid showed significant positive heterosis for this trait.

Negative heterotic effects indicating that the hybrids were shorter than their mid parents and positive heterotic effects showed that hybrids were taller with their mid parents. Negative heterosis is desirable for plant height because this will make the hybrids to give more reproductive growth compared to parents. Testers IC514866 and IC206758 showed highest significant negative estimates for mid and better parent heterosis. Tester IC206758 showed significant negative value for all heterotic estimates over IGKV R2. Tester IC206758 with RRF 140 showed heterobeltiosis, relative heterosis and standard heterosis over IGKV R2 in negative direction. It can also be used for developing dwarf hybrid.

A broad range of heterosis effects are shown by analysing plant height attributes across different hybrid combinations, with both positive and negative values affecting plant stature in comparison to parental lines. Notably, throughout several comparisons, hybrids including RRF 140 continuously showed significant negative heterosis, suggesting a tendency towards lower height in relation to the mid and higher parent values. This implies the possibility of producing dwarf hybrids, which would be especially interesting in crossings including the tests IC514866 and IC206758. Tester IC206758 is especially notable because it has the potential to be used in the breeding of dwarf varieties, as it consistently showed

significant negative estimations across heterotic measurements. On the other hand, hybrids such as Dagad desi X IC463878 showed a strong positive heterosis, suggesting a tendency towards greater height in comparison to the parental lines. This might be useful for some breeding goals.

Similar results have also been reported by Chandirakala and Thiyagarajan, (2010), Jarwar *et al.* (2012), Sanghera and Hussain, (2012) and Sahu *et al.* (2017), Borah *et al.* (2017), Premkumar *et al.* (2017), Thorat *et al.* (2017), Kumar *et al.* (2020b), Awad-Allah, (2020), El-Mowafi *et al.* (2021) and Meena *et al.* (2021).

**Table 2. Mid parent Heterosis, Heterobeltiosis and Standard Heterosis**

F1 Cross	a. Days to 50 % flowering			
	Mid	Better	IGKV R2	DRR DHAN 42
Swarna X IC458657	5.53	-1.48	17.11	16.09
Swarna X IC206758	7.04	6.81	10.09	9.13
Swarna X IC516693	20.43 *	19.15 *	22.81 *	21.74 *
Swarna X IC460497	4.58	2.45	10.09	9.13
Swarna X IC463878	-1.22	-5.45	6.58	5.65
Swarna X IC514866	-4.72	-7.94	1.75	0.87
RRF 140 X IC458657	-21.33 **	-25.25 **	-1.32	-2.17
RRF 140 X IC206758	-6.17	-16.61 *	10.09	9.13
RRF 140 X IC516693	-10.73	-21.26 **	3.95	3.04
RRF 140 X IC460497	-9.89	-18.27 *	7.89	6.96
RRF 140 X IC463878	-10.75	-17.28 *	9.21	8.26
RRF 140 X IC514866	-15.37 *	-22.26 **	2.63	1.74
Dagad desi X IC458657	-10.17	-13.65	2.63	1.74
Dagad desi X IC206758	0.83	-2.40	7.02	6.09
Dagad desi X IC516693	2.92	-1.20	8.33	7.39
Dagad desi X IC460497	-1.01	-2.00	7.46	6.52
Dagad desi X IC463878	-8.88	-10.12	1.32	0.43
Dagad desi X IC514866	1.99	1.59	12.28	11.30
IR64 X IC458657	-0.57	-4.06	14.04	13.04
IR64 X IC206758	10.29	6.35	17.54	16.52
IR64 X IC516693	-4.56	-8.73	0.88	0.00
IR64 X IC460497	-0.60	-1.98	8.33	7.39
IR64 X IC463878	1.38	0.39	13.16	12.17
IR64 X IC514866	5.16	5.16	16.23	15.22
Maheshwari X IC458657	-6.00	-10.33	6.58	5.65
Maheshwari X IC206758	5.83	3.25	11.40	10.43
Maheshwari X IC516693	4.20	0.81	8.77	7.83
Maheshwari X IC460497	-5.09	-5.28	2.19	1.30
Maheshwari X IC463878	4.97	2.72	15.79	14.78
Maheshwari X IC514866	2.81	1.59	12.28	11.30

\*Significant at  $p=0.05\%$  level, \*\*Significant at  $p=0.01\%$  level

UNDER PEER REVIEW

F1 Cross	b. Plant height			
	Mid	Better	IGKV R2	DRR DHAN 42
Swarna X IC458657	9.58	8.46	4.29	22.64 **
Swarna X IC206758	6.57	5.82	1.10	18.89 *
Swarna X IC516693	15.13 *	11.94	5.44	23.99 **
Swarna X IC460497	10.22	5.99	-0.16	17.40 *
Swarna X IC463878	4.73	0.01	-5.81	10.77
Swarna X IC514866	1.48	-3.48	0.78	18.51 *
RRF 140 X IC458657	-12.13 *	-19.70 **	-6.70	9.71
RRF 140 X IC206758	-19.25 **	-26.43 **	-14.51 *	0.53
RRF 140 X IC516693	3.23	-8.87	5.89	24.52 **
RRF 140 X IC460497	-2.39	-14.67 *	-0.86	16.59 *
RRF 140 X IC463878	-4.29	-16.85 **	-3.39	13.61
RRF 140 X IC514866	-19.42 **	-23.50 **	-11.12	4.52
Dagad desi X IC458657	-4.92	-9.59	-3.60	13.37
Dagad desi X IC206758	8.63	2.99	9.81	29.13 **
Dagad desi X IC516693	11.96	2.68	9.48	28.75 **
Dagad desi X IC460497	11.30	1.04	7.73	26.68 **
Dagad desi X IC463878	35.50 **	22.20 **	30.29 **	53.22 **
Dagad desi X IC514866	4.65	3.57	10.43	29.86 **
IR64 X IC458657	5.26	3.32	3.15	21.30 *
IR64 X IC206758	-13.20 *	-15.07 *	-15.21 *	-0.29
IR64 X IC516693	12.08	5.98	5.81	24.42 **
IR64 X IC460497	-4.01	-10.20	-10.34	5.43
IR64 X IC463878	1.45	-5.73	-5.89	10.67
IR64 X IC514866	-5.04	-7.13	-3.03	14.04
Maheshwari X IC458657	13.37 *	3.06	21.14 **	42.45 **
Maheshwari X IC206758	1.50	-8.00	8.14	27.16 **
Maheshwari X IC516693	-3.70	-15.41 *	-0.57	16.92 *
Maheshwari X IC460497	6.92	-6.99	9.32	28.56 **
Maheshwari X IC463878	5.33	-8.94	7.03	25.87 **
Maheshwari X IC514866	-15.05 **	-19.79 **	-5.72	10.87

\*Significant at p=0.05% level, \*\*Significant at p=0.01% level

<b>c. Number of effective tillers per plant</b>				
<b>F1 Cross</b>	<b>Mid</b>	<b>Better</b>	<b>IGKV R2</b>	<b>DRR DHAN 42</b>
Swarna X IC458657	10.64	8.33	-16.13	-21.21 *
Swarna X IC206758	84.31 **	74.07 **	51.61 **	42.42 **
Swarna X IC516693	-11.11	-16.67	-35.48 **	-39.39 **
Swarna X IC460497	26.83 *	8.33	-16.13	-21.21 *
Swarna X IC463878	35.14 *	4.17	-19.35 *	-24.24 **
Swarna X IC514866	19.05	4.17	-19.35 *	-24.24 **
RRF 140 X IC458657	22.45 *	15.38	-3.23	-9.09
RRF 140 X IC206758	-1.89	-3.70	-16.13	-21.21 *
RRF 140 X IC516693	10.64	0.01	-16.13	-21.21 *
RRF 140 X IC460497	-6.98	-23.08 *	-35.48 **	-39.39 **
RRF 140 X IC463878	79.49 **	34.62 **	12.90	6.06
RRF 140 X IC514866	36.36 **	15.38	-3.23	-9.09
Dagad desi X IC458657	11.86	-8.33	6.45	0.01
Dagad desi X IC206758	55.56 **	36.11 **	58.06 **	48.48 **
Dagad desi X IC516693	-22.81 *	-38.89 **	-29.03 **	-33.33 **
Dagad desi X IC460497	13.21	-16.67 *	-3.23	-9.09
Dagad desi X IC463878	46.94 **	0.01	16.13	9.09
Dagad desi X IC514866	-33.33 **	-50.00 **	-41.94 **	-45.45 **
IR64 X IC458657	-9.80	-17.86	-25.81 **	-30.30 **
IR64 X IC206758	20.00 *	17.86	6.45	0.02
IR64 X IC516693	-6.12	-17.86	-25.81 **	-30.30 **
IR64 X IC460497	-15.56	-32.14 **	-38.71 **	-42.42 **
IR64 X IC463878	75.61 **	28.57 **	16.13	9.09
IR64 X IC514866	30.43 **	7.14	-3.23	-9.09
Maheshwari X IC458657	-4.00	-11.11	-22.58 *	-27.27 **
Maheshwari X IC206758	-7.41	-7.41	-19.35 *	-24.24 **
Maheshwari X IC516693	-29.17 **	-37.04 **	-45.16 **	-48.48 **
Maheshwari X IC460497	-31.82 **	-44.44 **	-51.61 **	-54.55 **
Maheshwari X IC463878	-5.00	-29.63 **	-38.71 **	-42.42 **
Maheshwari X IC514866	-15.56	-29.63 **	-38.71 **	-42.42 **

\*Significant at p=0.05% level, \*\*Significant at p=0.01% level

<b>d. Number of filled grains per panicle</b>				
<b>F1 Cross</b>	<b>Mid</b>	<b>Better</b>	<b>IGKV R2</b>	<b>DRR DHAN 42</b>
Swarna X IC458657	-27.64 **	-28.95 **	-22.02 *	-27.03 **
Swarna X IC206758	17.04 *	3.95	14.08	6.76
Swarna X IC516693	-12.62	-23.68 **	-16.25	-21.62 *
Swarna X IC460497	-28.73 **	-37.17 **	-31.05 **	-35.47 **
Swarna X IC463878	-28.32 **	-40.46 **	-34.66 **	-38.85 **
Swarna X IC514866	-0.97	-15.79	-7.58	-13.51
RRF 140 X IC458657	-33.77 **	-36.28 **	-27.08 **	-31.76 **
RRF 140 X IC206758	-11.75	-23.03 **	-11.91	-17.57 *
RRF 140 X IC516693	-25.74 **	-36.28 **	-27.08 **	-31.76 **
RRF 140 X IC460497	-23.13 **	-33.44 **	-23.83 *	-28.72 **
RRF 140 X IC463878	3.86	-15.14	-2.89	-9.12
RRF 140 X IC514866	4.91	-12.30	0.36	-6.08
Dagad desi X IC458657	-9.57	-12.97	-7.94	-13.85
Dagad desi X IC206758	-43.59 **	-47.23 **	-48.38 **	-51.69 **
Dagad desi X IC516693	-10.04	-17.34	-19.13 *	-24.32 **
Dagad desi X IC460497	-4.97	-11.81	-13.72	-19.26 *
Dagad desi X IC463878	4.66	-8.86	-10.83	-16.55
Dagad desi X IC514866	18.60 *	5.90	3.61	-3.04
IR64 X IC458657	-9.02	-15.70	-10.83	-16.55
IR64 X IC206758	4.53	1.60	-8.30	-14.19
IR64 X IC516693	0.21	-4.40	-13.72	-19.26 *
IR64 X IC460497	-3.32	-6.80	-15.88	-21.28 *
IR64 X IC463878	25.50 **	13.20	2.17	-4.39
IR64 X IC514866	42.12 **	31.60 **	18.77 *	11.15
Maheshwari X IC458657	-16.06 *	-17.06 *	-12.27	-17.91 *
Maheshwari X IC206758	-6.90	-15.03	-12.27	-17.91 *
Maheshwari X IC516693	3.31	-7.34	-4.33	-10.47
Maheshwari X IC460497	4.63	-5.24	-2.17	-8.45
Maheshwari X IC463878	8.01	-8.04	-5.05	-11.15
Maheshwari X IC514866	-10.22	-21.68 *	-19.13 *	-24.32 **

\*Significant at p=0.05% level, \*\*Significant at p=0.01% level

F1 Cross	e. Spikelet fertility %			
	Mid	Better	IGKV R2	DRR DHAN 42
Swarna X IC458657	-4.27	-13.95 **	-7.74	-8.09 *
Swarna X IC206758	-17.41 **	-25.64 **	-20.27 **	-20.58 **
Swarna X IC516693	-17.21 **	-24.97 **	-19.55 **	-19.86 **
Swarna X IC460497	-13.90 **	-19.56 **	-13.75 **	-14.08 **
Swarna X IC463878	-18.71 **	-28.54 **	-23.37 **	-23.67 **
Swarna X IC514866	-0.96	-10.83 **	-4.40	-4.76
RRF 140 X IC458657	4.74	-6.32	1.56	1.17
RRF 140 X IC206758	8.11 *	-3.14	5.00	4.60
RRF 140 X IC516693	-5.51	-14.78 **	-7.62	-7.97 *
RRF 140 X IC460497	5.10	-2.31	5.90	5.50
RRF 140 X IC463878	15.62 **	1.17	9.67 *	9.25 *
RRF 140 X IC514866	15.28 **	3.28	11.97 **	11.54 **
Dagad desi X IC458657	6.47	-4.65	3.06	2.67
Dagad desi X IC206758	-52.89 **	-57.74 **	-54.32 **	-54.49 **
Dagad desi X IC516693	-9.05 *	-17.86 **	-11.22 **	-11.56 **
Dagad desi X IC460497	-4.62	-11.22 **	-4.04	-4.41
Dagad desi X IC463878	6.76	-6.47	1.10	0.71
Dagad desi X IC514866	9.83 **	-1.47	6.50	6.09
IR64 X IC458657	-2.16	-10.55 **	-7.68	-8.03 *
IR64 X IC206758	12.49 **	3.03	6.33	5.92
IR64 X IC516693	14.23 **	5.34	8.71 *	8.29 *
IR64 X IC460497	1.29	-3.66	-0.57	-0.95
IR64 X IC463878	1.86	-8.95 *	-6.03	-6.39
IR64 X IC514866	12.05 **	2.63	5.92	5.51
Maheshwari X IC458657	10.00 **	-3.48	9.34 *	8.92 *
Maheshwari X IC206758	5.66	-7.14 *	5.20	4.79
Maheshwari X IC516693	5.78	-6.43	5.99	5.59
Maheshwari X IC460497	8.23 *	-1.40	11.70 **	11.27 **
Maheshwari X IC463878	3.29	-11.29 **	0.49	0.11
Maheshwari X IC514866	-18.35 **	-28.24 **	-18.70 **	-19.02 **

\*Significant at p=0.05% level, \*\*Significant at p=0.01% level

F1 Cross	f. Grain yield/Plant			
	Mid	Better	IGKV R2	DRR DHAN 42
Swarna X IC458657	-64.63 **	-66.28 **	-60.81 **	-62.82 **
Swarna X IC206758	10.87 **	4.08	37.84 **	30.77 **
Swarna X IC516693	-31.87 **	-35.42 **	-16.22 **	-20.51 **
Swarna X IC460497	-9.76 *	-13.95 **	0.02	-5.13
Swarna X IC463878	-53.75 **	-56.98 **	-50.00 **	-52.56 **
Swarna X IC514866	-54.49 **	-55.81 **	-48.65 **	-51.28 **
RRF 140 X IC458657	-53.09 **	-54.76 **	-48.65 **	-51.28 **
RRF 140 X IC206758	-27.47 **	-32.65 **	-10.81 *	-15.38 **
RRF 140 X IC516693	-53.33 **	-56.25 **	-43.24 **	-46.15 **
RRF 140 X IC460497	-37.04 **	-39.29 **	-31.08 **	-34.62 **
RRF 140 X IC463878	17.72 **	10.71 *	25.68 **	19.23 **
RRF 140 X IC514866	-24.85 **	-26.19 **	-16.22 **	-20.51 **
Dagad desi X IC458657	2.60	1.28	6.76	1.28
Dagad desi X IC206758	-5.75	-16.33 **	10.81 *	5.13
Dagad desi X IC516693	0.02	-10.42 **	16.22 **	10.26 *
Dagad desi X IC460497	14.29 **	12.82 **	18.92 **	12.82 **
Dagad desi X IC463878	20.00 **	18.42 **	21.62 **	15.38 **
Dagad desi X IC514866	24.84 **	20.99 **	32.43 **	25.64 **
IR64 X IC458657	-9.59 *	-15.38 **	-10.81 *	-15.38 **
IR64 X IC206758	-8.43 *	-22.45 **	2.70	-2.56
IR64 X IC516693	3.66	-11.46 **	14.86 **	8.97 *
IR64 X IC460497	-42.47 **	-46.15 **	-43.24 **	-46.15 **
IR64 X IC463878	38.03 **	32.43 **	32.43 **	25.64 **
IR64 X IC514866	16.78 **	7.41	17.57 **	11.54 *
Maheshwari X IC458657	24.64 **	10.26 *	16.22 **	10.26 *
Maheshwari X IC206758	22.78 **	-1.02	31.08 **	24.36 **
Maheshwari X IC516693	19.23 **	-3.13	25.68 **	19.23 **
Maheshwari X IC460497	27.54 **	12.82 **	18.92 **	12.82 **
Maheshwari X IC463878	25.37 **	13.51 **	13.51 **	7.69
Maheshwari X IC514866	36.17 **	18.52 **	29.73 **	23.08 **

\*Significant at p=0.05% level, \*\*Significant at p=0.01% level

F1 Cross	g. 100 seed weight			
	Mid	Better	IGKV R2	DRR DHAN 42
Swarna X IC458657	4.85	1.57	6.82	1.34
Swarna X IC206758	-6.94	-12.98 *	-8.47	-13.17 *
Swarna X IC516693	23.00 **	11.86 *	17.65 **	11.61 *
Swarna X IC460497	11.98 *	11.86 *	17.65 **	11.61 *
Swarna X IC463878	12.24 *	10.65	19.76 **	13.62 *
Swarna X IC514866	-2.88	-3.94	3.29	-2.01
RRF 140 X IC458657	7.12	1.72	11.53	5.80
RRF 140 X IC206758	-12.28 *	-19.53 **	-11.76	-16.29 **
RRF 140 X IC516693	18.03 **	5.36	15.53 *	9.60
RRF 140 X IC460497	11.84 *	9.44	20.00 **	13.84 *
RRF 140 X IC463878	-5.40	-6.01	3.06	-2.23
RRF 140 X IC514866	3.79	2.79	12.71 *	6.92
Dagad desi X IC458657	15.93 **	13.79 *	16.47 **	10.49
Dagad desi X IC206758	15.78 **	9.66	12.24 *	6.47
Dagad desi X IC516693	24.84 **	14.94 *	17.65 **	11.61 *
Dagad desi X IC460497	6.24	4.93	10.12	4.46
Dagad desi X IC463878	1.68	-1.09	7.06	1.56
Dagad desi X IC514866	7.40	4.81	12.71 *	6.92
IR64 X IC458657	18.39 **	14.19 *	21.18 **	14.96 *
IR64 X IC206758	21.43 **	13.08 *	20.00 **	13.84 *
IR64 X IC516693	16.77 **	5.76	12.24 *	6.47
IR64 X IC460497	9.03	8.43	15.06 *	9.15
IR64 X IC463878	4.06	3.04	11.53	5.80
IR64 X IC514866	-4.19	-4.81	2.35	-2.90
Maheshwari X IC458657	6.59	0.21	12.24 *	6.47
Maheshwari X IC206758	16.30 **	5.67	18.35 **	12.28 *
Maheshwari X IC516693	12.35 *	-0.63	11.29	5.58
Maheshwari X IC460497	5.42	2.10	14.35 *	8.48
Maheshwari X IC463878	-4.49	-6.09	5.18	-0.22
Maheshwari X IC514866	-2.89	-4.83	6.59	1.12

\*Significant at p=0.05% level, \*\*Significant at p=0.01% level

### **Number of effective tillers per plant**

The mid parent heterosis ranged from -33.33 % (Dagad desi X IC514866) to 84.31 % (Swarna X IC206758). Among hybrids, four hybrids showed highly significant negative relative heterosis for this trait, eleven hybrids showed highly significant positive relative heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in number of effective tillers per plant was obtained by cross Swarna X IC206758 (84.31) followed by RRF 140 X IC463878 (79.49), IR64 X IC463878 (75.61), Dagad desi X IC206758 (55.56), Dagad desi X IC463878 (46.94), RRF 140 X IC514866 (36.36), Swarna X IC463878 (35.14), IR64 X IC514866 (30.43), Swarna X IC460497 (26.83), RRF 140 X IC458657 (22.45) and IR64 X IC206758 (20.00).

The heterobeltiosis ranged from -50.00 % (Dagad desi X IC514866) to 74.07 % (Swarna X IC206758). Among hybrids, four hybrids showed significant positive better parent heterosis and nine hybrids showed significant negative better heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in number of effective tillers per plant was obtained by cross Swarna X IC206758 (74.07) followed by Dagad desi X IC206758 (36.11), RRF 140 X IC463878 (34.62) and IR64 X IC463878 (28.57).

The standard heterosis (over IGKV R2) ranged from -51.61 % (Maheshwari X IC460497) to 58.06 (Dagad desi X IC206758). Fifteen hybrids showed negative highly significant standard heterosis for this trait. Two hybrids showed positive highly significant standard heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in number of effective tillers per plant was obtained by cross Dagad desi X IC206758 (58.06) followed by Swarna X IC206758 (51.61).

The standard heterosis (over DRR DHAN 42) ranged from -54.55 % (Maheshwari X IC460497) to 48.48 % (Dagad desi X IC206758). Among hybrids, two hybrids shown significant positive standard heterosis for this trait while nineteen hybrids showed significant negative standard heterosis. Highest positive heterosis in number of effective tillers per plant was obtained by cross Dagad desi X IC206758 (48.48) followed by Swarna X IC206758 (42.42).

Number of effective tillers per plant is known to contribute directly towards grain yield can be exploited. Hence, heterosis over better parent and standard check in the positive direction is desirable for this trait.

There is considerable potential for altering tillering capacity in breeding programmes, as evidenced by the examination of the number of effective tillers per plant across various hybrid combinations, which demonstrates a wide variety of heterosis effects. Notably, relative to parental lines, a number of hybrids—most notably Swarna X IC206758—exhibited highly significant positive heterosis, indicating a notable increase in tillering. This points to a possible way to boost grain yield by producing more tillers. On the other hand, hybrids such as Dagad desi X IC514866 showed a notable decrease in tiller numbers in comparison to their parental lines, as evidenced by their strong negative heterosis. The preference for positive heterosis over better parent and standard checks aligns with the objective of maximizing tiller production for improved crop yield. Additionally, the consistent demonstration of significant heterosis effects across different comparison standards underscores the robustness of these findings, providing valuable insights for breeders aiming to optimize tillering traits in crop hybrids.

High heterosis for more number of panicles per plant has been reported by Kumar *et al.* (2012), Jarwar *et al.* (2012), Sreedhar *et al.* (2012), Sanghera and Hussain, (2012), Utharasu and Anandakumar (2013), Latha *et al.* (2013), Ghara *et al.* (2014), Karpagam and Kalaiyarasi, (2017), Premkumar *et al.* (2017), Thorat *et al.* (2017), Venkatesan *et al.* (2019) Kumar *et al.* (2020b) and El-Mowafi *et al.* (2021)

### **Number of filled grains per panicle**

The mid parent heterosis ranged from -43.59 % (Dagad desi X IC206758) to 42.12 % (IR64 X IC514866). Among hybrids, four hybrids showed significant positive heterosis and eight hybrids showed significant negative relative heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in number of filled grains per panicle s was obtained by cross IR64 X IC514866 (42.12) followed by IR64 X IC463878 (25.50), Dagad desi X IC514866 (18.60) and Swarna X IC206758 (17.04).

The heterobeltiosis ranged from -47.23 % (Dagad desi X IC206758) to 31.60 % (IR64 X IC514866). Among hybrids, one hybrid showed significant positive better heterosis and eleven have shown significant negative better heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in number of filled grains per panicle s was obtained by cross IR64 X IC514866 (31.60).

The standard heterosis (over IGKV R2) ranged from -48.38 % (Dagad desi X IC206758) to 18.77 % (IR64 X IC514866). Among hybrids, one hybrid showed significant

positive standard heterosis and nine hybrids showed significant negative standard heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in number of filled grains per panicle s was obtained by cross IR64 X IC514866 (18.77).

The standard heterosis (over DRR DHAN 42) ranged from -89.64% (CRMS32A/Mai Dubraj) to -15.81% (CRMS32A/Aymore). Among hybrids, none of the hybrid showed significant positive standard heterosis and sixteen hybrids have shown significant negative standard heterosis for this trait.

The number of number of filled grains per panicle s directly contributes to grain yield hence positive heterotic effect would be highly desirable. In the present study, more number of number of filled grains per panicle s is closely associated with high grain yield per plant resulting high productivity. Therefore, the main interest is to find out the cross combinations with more number of long and heavy panicle bearing tillers. The tester IC514866 with line IR 64 recorded higher values of heterotic expression for better parent, mid parent and check IGKV R2. Virmani *et al.* (1981 and 1982) reported that heterosis in grain yield was primarily due to increased number of spikelets per panicle further supported by Patel *et al.* (1994) and Reddy (1996) that confirms the present trend in these traits.

Analysing the amount of filled grains per panicle in a variety of hybrid combinations shows strong heterosis effects, indicating a great deal of potential for affecting grain output in breeding programmes. Some hybrids, like IR64 X IC514866, showed considerable positive heterosis, meaning that the number of filled grains was higher than in the parental lines. Other hybrids, like Dagad desi X IC206758, showed significant negative heterosis, indicating that the number of filled grains was lower. Given that they directly affect grain production and overall productivity, this emphasises the significance of choosing hybrid combinations with positive heterotic effects. IC514866 showed stronger heterotic expression across many comparative standards, especially when combined with line IR64, highlighting its potential for generating hybrids with better grain-filling traits. This is consistent with other research that suggests an increase in spikelets per panicle is the primary cause of heterosis in grain production. This emphasises the significance of choosing hybrids with improved panicle features in order to achieve higher productivity.

Significant positive heterosis for number of number of filled grains per panicle s per panicle was reported by Tiwari *et al.* (2011), Saidaiah *et al.* (2012), Sreedhar *et al.* (2012),

Issac (2007), Sanghera and Hussain, (2012), Devi *et al.* (2017), Premkumar *et al.* (2017) and Ramesh *et al.* (2018), Meena *et al.* (2021) and El-Mowafi *et al.* (2021)

### **Spikelet Fertility (%)**

The mid parent heterosis ranged from -52.89 % (Dagad desi X IC206758) to 15.62 % (RRF 140 X IC463878). Among hybrids, nine hybrids showed significant positive relative heterosis and seven hybrids showed significant negative relative heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in spikelet fertility per panicle was obtained by cross RRF 140 X IC463878 (15.62) followed by RRF 140 X IC514866 (15.28), IR64 X IC516693 (14.28), IR64 X IC206758 (12.49), IR64 X IC514866 (12.05), Maheshwari X IC458657 (10.00) and Maheshwari X IC460497 (8.23).

The heterobeltiosis ranged from -57.74 % (Dagad desi X IC206758) to 5.34 % (IR64 X IC516693). Among hybrids, none of the hybrids showed positive better heterosis and fifteen hybrids showed highly significant negative better heterosis for this trait.

The standard heterosis (over IGKV R2) ranged from -54.32 % (Dagad desi X IC206758) to 11.97 % (RRF 140 X IC514866). Among hybrids, five hybrids showed significant positive standard heterosis and seven hybrids showed significant negative standard heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in spikelet fertility per panicle was obtained by cross RRF 140 X IC514866 (11.97) followed by Maheshwari X IC460497 (11.70), RRF 140 X IC463878 (9.67), Maheshwari X IC458657 (9.34) and IR64 X IC516693 (8.71).

The standard heterosis (over DRR DHAN 42) ranged from -54.49 % (Dagad desi X IC206758) to 11.54 % (RRF 140 X IC514866). Among hybrids, five hybrids showed significant positive standard heterosis and ten hybrids showed significant negative standard heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in spikelet fertility per panicle was obtained by cross RRF 140 X IC514866 (11.54) followed by Maheshwari X IC460497 (11.27), RRF 140 X IC463878 (9.25), Maheshwari X IC458657 (8.92) and IR64 X IC516693 (8.29).

The evaluation of spikelet fertility percentage in different hybrid combinations shows a broad range of heterosis effects, suggesting a substantial potential to affect the success of reproduction in breeding programmes. Significant negative heterosis was seen in some hybrids, such as Dagad desi X IC206758, indicating a drop in fertility, while significant positive heterosis was seen in some hybrids, such as RRF 140 X IC463878 and RRF 140 X IC514866, suggesting an increase in spikelet fertility relative to parental lines. This

emphasises how crucial it is to choose hybrid combinations that have favourable heterotic effects because they have a direct impact on yield potential overall. Among the comparative standards, RRF 140 X IC514866 had the highest positive heterosis continuously, indicating that it may have the ability to increase spikelet fertility and ultimately crop productivity.

Most of the hybrids had negative heterosis due to the problem of spikelet sterility, as reported by Virmani *et al.* (1982). Standard heterosis of both positive and negative nature was observed by Panwar *et al.* (2002) whereas; similar nature for heterobeltiosis was reported by and Belhekar *et al.* (2017). Positive heterosis over better parent and standard variety was reported by Virmani *et al.* (1981) they concluded that heterosis in grain yield was primarily due to increased number of filled grains per panicle s per panicle. Similar result was also observed by Sanghera and Hussain, (2012), Devi *et al.* (2017), El-Mowafi *et al.* (2021)

### **Grain yield per plant (g)**

The mid parent heterosis ranged from -64.63 % (Swarna X IC458657) to 38.03 % (IR64 X IC463878). Among hybrids, seventeen hybrids showed significant positive relative heterosis and eleven hybrids showed significant negative relative heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in grain yield per plant was obtained by cross IR64 X IC463878 (38.03) followed by Maheshwari X IC514866 (36.17), Maheshwari X IC460497 (27.54), Maheshwari X IC463878 (25.37), Dagad desi X IC514866 (24.84), Maheshwari X IC458657 (24.64), Maheshwari X IC206758 (22.78), Dagad desi X IC463878 (20.00), Maheshwari X IC516693 (19.23), RRF 140 X IC463878 (17.72), IR64 X IC514866 (16.78), Dagad desi X IC460497 (14.29) and Swarna X IC206758 (10.87).

The heterobeltiosis ranged from -66.28 % (Swarna X IC458657) to 32.43 % (IR64 X IC463878). Among hybrids, nine hybrids showed significant positive relative heterosis and sixteen hybrids showed significant negative relative heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in grain yield per plant was obtained by cross IR64 X IC463878 (32.43) followed by Dagad desi X IC514866 (20.99), Maheshwari X IC514866 (18.52), Maheshwari X IC206758 (18.42), Maheshwari X IC463878 (13.51), Dagad desi X IC460497 and Maheshwari X IC460497 (12.82), RRF 140 X IC463878 (10.71) and Maheshwari X IC458657 (10.26).

The standard heterosis (over IGKV R2) ranged from -60.81 % (Swarna X IC458657) to 37.84 % (Swarna X IC206758). Among hybrids, fifteen hybrids showed significant positive standard heterosis while eleven hybrids showed significant negative standard

heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in grain yield per plant was obtained by cross Swarna X IC206758 (37.84) followed by Dagad desi X IC514866 and IR64 X IC463878 (32.43), Maheshwari X IC206758 (31.08), Maheshwari X IC514866 (29.73) and Maheshwari X IC516693 (25.68).

The standard heterosis (over DRR DHAN 42) ranged from -62.82 % (Swarna X IC458657) to 30.77 % (Swarna X IC206758). Among hybrids, fourteen hybrids showed significant positive standard heterosis while eleven hybrids showed significant negative standard heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in grain yield per plant was obtained by cross Swarna X IC206758 (30.77) followed by Dagad desi X IC514866 and IR64 X IC463878 (25.64) and Maheshwari X IC514866 (23.08).

Grain yield is a complex trait that is multiplicative end product of several attributes of yield. Hybrid showing high heterosis for grain yield per plant, also manifested heterotic effects for productive tillers per plant, panicle length, fertile grains per panicle.

There is a great deal of opportunity for increasing yield in breeding programmes when the examination of grain yield per plant across various hybrid combinations shows a wide variety of heterosis effects. A significant increase in grain yield compared to parental lines was indicated by several hybrids that showed significant positive heterosis, including IR64 X IC463878 and Swarna X IC206758. In order to optimise yield potential, this emphasises how crucial it is to choose hybrid combinations with favourable heterotic effects. Hybrids such as Maheshwari X IC514866 have been seen to exhibit constant high positive heterosis when compared to different standards, indicating their potential for higher yield performance. Furthermore, the fact that other yield-related characteristics like as the number of productive tillers per plant and the number of viable grains per panicle are correlated with grain yield emphasises the multifactorial nature of grain production and the necessity of comprehensive breeding methods that target various yield components. For breeders hoping to create crop hybrids with improved agronomic performance and high yields, these results provide insightful information.

Increased grain yield in rice due to various component traits as observed in the present investigation is in close conformity the finding observed by the other workers Issac (2007), Vaithiyaligan and Nandarajan (2010), Tiwari *et al.* (2011), Sreedhar *et al.* (2012), Sanghera and Hussain, (2012), Pratap *et al.* (2013), Latha *et al.* (2013), Veerasha *et al.* (2013), Ghara *et*

*al.* (2014), Anis *et al.* (2017), Devi *et al.* (2017), Karpagam and Kalaiyarasi, (2017), Thorat *et al.* (2017), Venkatesan *et al.* (2019) and El-Mowafi *et al.* (2021).

### **100 grain weight (g)**

The mid parent heterosis ranged from -12.28 % (RRF 140 X IC206758) to 24.84 % (Dagad desi X IC516693). Among hybrids, thirteen hybrid showed significant positive relative heterosis and one hybrid showed significant negative relative heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in 100 seed weight was obtained by cross Dagad desi X IC516693 (24.84) followed by Swarna X IC516693 (23.00) and IR64 X IC206758 (21.43).

The heterobeltiosis ranged from -19.53 % (RRF 140 X IC206758) to 14.94 % (Dagad desi X IC516693). Among hybrids, six hybrids showed significant positive better heterosis and two hybrids showed significant negative better heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in 100 seed weight was obtained by cross Dagad desi X IC516693 (14.94) followed by IR64 X IC458657 (14.19), Dagad desi X IC458657 (13.79), IR64 X IC206758 (13.08), Swarna X IC516693 and Swarna X IC460497 (11.86).

The standard heterosis (over IGKV R2) ranged from -11.76 % (RRF 140 X IC206758) to 21.18 % (IR64 X IC458657). Among hybrids, none of the hybrids showed significant negative standard heterosis for this trait and seventeen hybrids showed significant positive standard heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in 100 seed weight was obtained by cross IR64 X IC458657 (21.18) followed by IR64 X IC206758, RRF 140 X IC460497 (20.00), Swarna X IC463878 (19.76), Maheshwari X IC206758 (18.35), Swarna X IC516693, Swarna X IC460497 and Dagad desi X IC516693 (17.65).

The standard heterosis (over DRR DHAN 42) ranged from -16.29 % (RRF 140 X IC206758) to 14.96 % (IR64 X IC458657). Among hybrids, eight hybrids showed significant positive standard heterosis and two hybrids showed significant negative standard heterosis for this trait. Highest positive heterosis in 100 seed weight was obtained by cross IR64 X IC458657 (14.96) followed by RRF 140 X IC460497 and IR64 X IC206758 (13.84), Swarna X IC463878 (13.62), Maheshwari X IC206758 (12.28), Swarna X IC516693, Swarna X IC460497 and Dagad desi X IC516693 (11.61).

Significant heterosis effects are revealed when 100-grain weight is compared between different hybrid combinations, underscoring the possibility of improving this crucial yield

factor in breeding programmes. Notably, a number of hybrids—including IR64 X IC458657 and Dagad desi X IC516693—showed significant positive heterosis, suggesting a notable increase in seed weight in comparison to parental lines. Grain weight plays a major role in total yield, which emphasises how important it is to choose hybrid combinations with positive heterotic effects to maximise yield potential.

The trait hundred grain weight is an important yield component in the final grain yield, as the bold grained varieties normally out grain yield the other types. In the present study positive significant values are reported which were in agreement with the earlier findings by Rahimi *et al.* (2010), Krishna *et al.* (2011), Pratap *et al.* (2013) and Latha *et al.* (2013) .

**Autors should explore the results further.**

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the study underscores the importance of heterosis effects in shaping rice hybrid performance across various agronomic traits. The findings suggest avenues for targeted breeding efforts to enhance traits crucial for yield and productivity. Notably, hybrids exhibiting consistent positive heterosis across multiple traits hold promise for improving overall crop performance. Furthermore, the identification of hybrids with significant negative heterosis for specific traits presents opportunities for developing specialized varieties, such as early maturing or dwarf hybrids. Overall, the insights provided by this research offer valuable guidance for rice breeders seeking to optimize hybrid combinations for enhanced agronomic performance and yield potential. **The conclusion must be succinct.**

## REFERENCES

***Please review the bibliographic references it is important to place them in the journal format.***

1. Anis, G., El-Mowafi, H., El-Sherif, A., Freeg, H., El-Sayed, A. and Sabagh, A.E., 2017. Utilizing two line system in hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and potential yield advantage under Egyptian conditions. *Agricultural Advances*, 6(3), pp.398-406.
2. Awad-Allah, M.M.A., 2020. Heterosis and combining ability estimates using line x tester analysis to develop wide compatibility and restorer lines in rice. *Journal of Agricultural Chemistry and Biotechnology*, 11(12), pp.383-393.

3. Azad, A.K., Sarker, U., Ercisli, S., Assouguem, A., Ullah, R., Almeer, R., Sayed, A.A. and Peluso, I., 2022. Evaluation of combining ability and heterosis of popular restorer and male sterile lines for the development of superior rice hybrids. *Agronomy*, 12(4), p.965.
4. Begum, S., Reddy, V., Srinivas, B. and Arunakumari, C.H., 2020. Heterosis studies for yield and physical quality traits in hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 16(1), pp.38-50.
5. Belhekar, P. S., Meheta, H. D., Patil, H. M., Bhor T. J., Patil Y. J. and Lende N. S. 017. Heterosis and combining ability in aromatic hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Int. J. Chem. Studies.*, 5(5): 1341-1345.
6. Borah, P.U.L.I.N., Sarma, D.E.B.O.J.I.T. and Hazarika, G.N., 2017. Magnitude of heterosis for yield and its components in hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research*, 7(2), pp.209-216.
7. Chandirakala, R. and Thiyagarajan, K., 2010. Heterotic expression of two line hybrids in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 1(4), pp.1070-1078.
8. Devi, K. R., Venkanna, V., Chandra, B. S. and Hari, Y. 2018. Gene action and combining ability for yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using diallel analysis. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 7(1): 2834-2843.
9. El-Mowafi, H.F., AlKahtani, M.D., Abdallah, R.M., Reda, A.M., Attia, K.A., El-Hity, M.A., El-Dabaawy, H.E., Husnain, L.A., Al-Ateeq, T.K. and El-Esawi, M.A., 2021. Combining ability and gene action for yield characteristics in novel aromatic cytoplasmic male sterile hybrid rice under water-stress conditions. *Agriculture*, 11(3), pp.226.
10. Fonseca, S.; Patterson, F.L. (1968): Hybrid vigor in a seven-parent diallel cross in common winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Crop Sci.* 8, 85-88
11. Ghara, A. G., Nematzadeh, G., Bagheri, N., Oladi, M. and Bagheri, A. 2014. Heritability and heterosis of agronomic traits in rice lines. *Intl. J. Farm. & Alli. Sci.*, 3(1): 66-70.
12. Issac, S. 2007. Heterosis for economic traits in early, mid early and very early rice cultivars for the cauvery delta zone. *Indian J. Agril. Res.*, 41(4): 249-255.
13. Jarwar, A. D. Dela Cruz, Q. D. and Junejo, G. S. 2012. Heterosis of some agronomic characters in aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties and their F<sub>1</sub> hybrids under lowland and upland environments. *Pak. J. Agri., Agril. Engg. Vet. Sci.*, 28(2): 131-140.

14. Karpagam, V. and Kalaiyarasi, R., 2017. Choice of parents for developing two line hybrids in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 9(4), pp.2047-2054.
15. Krishna Veni, B. and Shobha Rani, N. 2003. Heterosis for yield and yield attributes in aromatic rice. *Madras Agric. J.*, 90: 10-12.
16. Krishna, L., Raju, C.D., Raju, C.S., Vanisree, S., Reddy, P.N. and Reddy, B.B., 2011. Heterosis for yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 98(apr-jun), pp.1.
17. Kumar, A.; Singh, V.J.; Krishnan, S.G.; Vinod, K.K.; Bhowmick, P.K.; Nagarajan, M.; Ellur, R.K.; Bollinedi, H.; Singh, A.K. WA-CMS-Based Iso-Cytoplasmic Restorers Derived from Commercial Rice Hybrids Reveal Distinct Population Structure and Genetic Divergence Towards Restorer Diversification. *3 Biotech* 2019, 9, 299.
18. Kumar, C.P.S., Sathiyabama, R., Darling, B., Suji., and Muraleedharan, A. 2020. Estimation of heterosis for earliness and certain growth characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Arhives* Vol.20, pp.1429-1432
19. Latha, S., Sharma, D., and Sanghera, G.S. 2013. Combining ability and heterosis for grain yield and its component traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Notulae Scientia Biologicae* 5(1):90
20. Liang, G.H., Reddy, C.R. and Dayton, A.D., 1972. Heterosis, inbreeding depression, and heritability estimates in a systematic series of grain sorghum genotypes 1. *Crop Science*, 12(4), pp.409-411.
21. Liu, J.; Li, M.; Zhang, Q.; Wei, X.; Huang, X. Exploring the molecular basis of heterosis for plant breeding. *J. Int. Plant Biol.* 2020, 62, 287–298.
22. Matzinger, D.F.; Mann, T.J.; Cockerham, C.C. (1962): Diallel cross in *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Crop Sci.* 2, 383-386.
23. Meena, D., Karnwal, M.K., Chaudhary, H., Kashyap, S. and Sachan, N., 2021. Study of heterosis for yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using line x tester mating system. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and phytochemistry*, 10(2), pp.384-391.
24. Murthy, N. and Kulkarni, R.S. 1996. Heterosis in relation to combining ability in rice. *Oryza*, 33: 153-156.
25. Panwar, D. V. S., Singh, R. A. and Mehla, B. S. 2002. Studies on heterosis in hybrid rice. *Oryza*, 39: 54- 55.

26. Pratap, N., Shekhar, R., Singh, P. K. and Soni, S. K. 2013. Combining ability, gene action and heterosis using CMS lines in hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *The Bioscan*, 8(4): 1521-1528.
27. Premkumar, R., Gnanamalar, R.P. and Anandakumar, C.R., 2017. Heterosis analysis for grain yield and yield component traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 6(6), pp.881-884.
28. Rahimi, M., Rabiei, B., Samizadeh, H. and Kafi Ghasemi, A. 2010. Combining ability and heterosis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars. *J. Agri. Sci. Tech.*, 12: 223-231.
29. Ramesh, C., Raju, C.R., Raju, C.S., and Verma, N.R.G. 2018. Combining Ability and Gene Action in Hybrid Rice *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 6 (1): 497-510.
30. Sahu, R., Singh, S.K., Singh, D.K., Vennela, P.R., Yerva, S.R., Kumar, D., Singh, M., and Rathan, N.D. 2017. Heterosis studies for yield & yield traits in rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) under rainfed condition. *IJAEB*: 10(1): 1
31. Saidaiah, P., Kumar, S. and Ramesha, M. S. 2010. Combining ability studies for development of new hybrids in rice over environments. *J. Agri. Sci.*, 2(2): 226-233.
32. Sanghera, G.S., Hussain, W. 2012. Heterosis and Combining Ability Estimates using Line x Tester Analysis to Develop Rice Hybrids for Temperate Conditions. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae* 4(3):131.
33. Snedecor, G. W. & Cochran, W. G. (1967). *Statistical Methods*. Ames, Iowa: Iowa State University Press.
34. Sreedhar, S., Reddy, T. D. and Ramesha, M. S. 2012. Expression of heterosis in rice hybrids over three environments. *Oryza*, 49(1): 10-17.
35. Thorat, B.S., Kunkerkar, R.L. and Bagkar, T.A., 2017. Studies on heterosis for yield and its contributing traits in hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of chemical studies*, 5(5), pp.07-12.
36. Tiwari, D.K., Pandey, P., Giri, S.P. and Dwivedi, J.L. 2011. Heterosis Studies for Yield and its Components in Rice Hybrids using CMS system. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, 10: 29-42.
37. Utharasu, S. and Anandakumar, C. R. 2013. Heterosis and combining ability analysis for grain yield and its component traits in aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars. *Elect. J. Plant Breed.*, 4(4): 1271-1279.
38. Vaithiyalingan, M. and Nadarajan, N. 2010. Heterosis for yield and yield contributing characters in inter sub-specific crosses of rice. *Elect. J. Plant Breed.*, 1(3): 305-310.

39. Venkatesan, M., Karthikeyan, P., and Mohan, B. V., 2019. Gene action and heterosis for yield and its component traits in rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) through line x tester analysis under saline condition. *Plant Arch*, 19(2), pp. 2021-2028.
40. Virmani S. S., Aquino R. O. and Khush G. S. 1982. Heterosis breeding in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 63:373-380.
41. Virmani, S. S., Chaudhary, R. C., and Khush, G. S. 1981. Current outlook on hybrid rice. *Oryza*, 18: 67-84.
42. Virmani, S.S.; Mao, C.; Hardy, B. Hybrid Rice for Food Security, Poverty Alleviation, and Environmental Protection. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Hybrid Rice*, Hanoi, Vietnam, 14–17 May 2002; International Rice Research Institute: Los Banos, Philippines, 2003; p. 407.
43. Virmani, S.S.; Young, J.B.; Moon, H.P.; Kumar, I.; Finn, J.C. Increasing Rice Yields through Exploitation of Heterosis; IRRI: Laguna, Philippines, 2007; p. 12. Gramaje, L.V.; Caguiat, J.D.; Oscar, S.J.; dela Cruz, Q.D.; Millas, R.A.; Carampatana, J.E.; Tabanao, D.A.A. Heterosis and Combining Ability Analysis in CMS Hybrid Rice. *Euphytica* 2020, 216, 1–22.