

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114082
Title of the Manuscript:	Botanical Pharmacies: The Blooming Frontier of Biopharming in Horticulture
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Such works are good for students who learn the subject, in the most basic case - the basics and history of the study and use of medicinal plants in folk and scientific medicine.</li> <li>The title can be changed to the following: "Pharmaceutics. From plants to drugs" or "Past, present and future of pharmaceuticals"</li> <li>For a scientific journal, the abstract must be written very specifically. Clearly highlighting the relevance, purpose, objectives, and results.</li> <li>The presented article is a teaching aid for students.</li> <li>The article is of a popular science nature.</li> <li>The article does not cite works on Chinese traditional medicine (For example, the works of Zhao Z.Z.), there are no references to books on Bulgarian folk medicine, or to books on the study of medicinal plants in Yugoslavia. The review that the authors made requires a serious expansion and in-depth analysis of the study of herbal medicine in different countries.</li> <li>The illustrations provided require a detailed description and an indication of where (from which Internet resources) they were taken. Moreover, "general" photographs of plants and laboratory equipment are completely inappropriate. Such "pictures" would be good simply for presentation at a lecture for elementary students.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>From the reviewer's point of view, the authors need to rework the article. From a lecture for students, make a serious scientific article about trends in the development of the study and use of medicinal plants in the treatment of various human diseases.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>do not use photographs from the Internet without links; each illustration must have not only a link in the text, but also a description of the meaning of its inclusion in the text. It is better to use your own photographs for illustrations.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tkachenko Kirill</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Komarov Botanical Institute, Russia</b>