

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_113921
Title of the Manuscript:	AN UPDATE ON THE USAGE OF ADVANCED RADIOLOGY TECHNIQUES FOR DIGITAL BREAST TOMOSYNTHESIS IN ELDERLY FEMALES WITH BREAST CANCER
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript presents a thorough analysis of disease awareness among the elderly, underscoring the need for targeted interventions and community-based initiatives to promote health literacy and preventive care. 2. Author included "update" in the title, which is generally avoided in formal academic writing. Revised Title author can use "Digital Breast Tomosynthesis and Advanced Radiology Techniques: A Review of Their Role in Elderly Females with Breast Cancer" 3. Abstract is comprehensive but some sentences needs to frame properly. 4. Ensure that each subsection is clearly defined and follows a logical order. Subsections can be numbered (e.g., 2.1, 2.2, 2.3) or use a heading format (e.g., "Materials and Methods," "Results," "Discussion"). Make sure that each subsection has a clear purpose and contributes to the overall coherence of the manuscript. 5. Yes 6. References are sufficient and recent. Check that the reference list is formatted according to the required citation style (e.g., APA, MLA, Chicago, etc.). Verify that all references are cited in the text and that all in-text citations are included in the reference list. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Ensure that the manuscript follows the appropriate formatting guidelines for your field or target journal. This includes font size, line spacing, margins, and other stylistic elements. Formatting consistency is important for readability and professional presentation.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neha Sameer Shahare
Department, University & Country	SPP University, India