

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAEES_113798</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Awareness among rural customers about different green banking services in Punjab</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The paper is significant for scientific community. The manuscript can be accepted for publication if the author(s) revise the paper point-by-point and improve the manuscript accordingly.</p> <p>Highly recommended to rewrite/edit the manuscript following the reviewer's comments and correct the text accordingly. (Check the attachment)</p> <p>Presentation: Good and in an acceptable form.</p> <p>Abstract: Need to improve the text. Sentence structure is confusing in some cases. Obviously mention the statistical values to justify the result/findings.</p> <p>Introduction: Okay (need improvement; check the attached review)</p> <p>Materials and Methods: Not so good. Need to improve the text and elaborate precisely.</p> <p>Results: Not good enough. Several changes required (check the reviewer's comments). Presentation of key findings with some figures is highly recommended. Better to analyze the data again and represent apparently using few figures.</p> <p>Discussion: Need improvement (check the review). Compare the findings of this study with the findings of similar and recent research. Highly recommended to provide suitable recommendations and suggestions.</p> <p>Conclusion: Specify the key findings with appropriate conclusion.</p> <p>References: All the references must be numbered <b>consecutively/serially</b> in the text for better understanding and recheck according to the journal's guidelines. Need to add more references. Author(s) have to check the references again.</p> <p><i>(For more details, please check the attached reviewer's comments)</i></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sentence structure and representation are not well-written. Authors should check the English language, typographical, grammatical errors, etc. very carefully.</li> <li>• Research idea: good</li> </ul> <p>In some cases, need justification.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Several grammatical mistakes</li> <li>• Revise and improve the manuscript following reviewer's comments before final submission</li> <li>• Major revisions required</li> <li>• Need clarification in some points.</li> </ul> <p>Reviewer encourages the author(s) to check each and every reference precisely, and arrange it perfectly according to the Journal's format for better representation. Statistical values should mention perfectly.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shourav Dutta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rangamati Science and Technology University, Bangladesh</b>