

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_113675
Title of the Manuscript:	Community Engagement in HIV/AIDS Analysis at Grassroots in Rural Tanzania: The Case of Visual Problem Appraisal AIDS and Rural Development
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript addresses an important gap in understanding and addressing HIV/AIDS at the grassroots level in rural Tanzania. By focusing on the use of Visual Problem Appraisal AIDS and Rural Development (VPA A&RD) as a tool for empowering small-scale farmers and enhancing policy analysis, the study offers valuable insights into potential strategies for combating the pandemic in rural communities. This research could significantly contribute to the scientific community's understanding of effective approaches to community engagement and policy design in the context of HIV/AIDS in rural settings.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable as it clearly reflects the focus of the study and the methodology employed. No alternative title is necessary.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study objectives, methodology, key findings, and recommendations. It effectively summarizes the main points of the research, making it easy for readers to understand the significance and scope of the study.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate, as they logically present the research objectives, methodology, findings, and recommendations.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears scientifically sound in its approach and methodology. However, it would be beneficial to provide more details on the data analysis techniques used to verify and compare the collected data with relevant literature for interpretation.</p> <p>6. The references cited in the manuscript appear to be relevant and recent. However, it might be beneficial to include additional references that discuss the effectiveness of community engagement strategies in HIV/AIDS prevention and control, as well as any studies that explore the integration of visual problem appraisal methods in other contexts.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. However, some minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasings could be addressed to enhance clarity and readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, this manuscript presents a valuable contribution to the field of HIV/AIDS research, particularly in the context of rural Tanzania. By highlighting the importance of community engagement and innovative problem-solving methods, the study offers practical insights that could inform policy and intervention efforts aimed at addressing the pandemic at the grassroots level.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zaryab Fatima
Department, University & Country	University of Sargodha, Pakistan