

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_113675 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Community Engagement in HIV/AIDS Analysis at Grassroots in Rural Tanzania: The Case of Visual Problem Appraisal AIDS and Rural Development |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>The title of the article needs some adjustment. Suggested Topic: Community Engagement on Human Immunodeficiency Virus/ Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome at Grassroots in Rural Tanzania.</p> <p>The title is suitable. The word HIV/AIDS should be written in full as in the topic part. (Human Immunodeficiency Virus/ acquired immunodeficiency syndrome) The author has introduced Unsustainable professional training on AIDS and Rural Development problem analysis and policy design from university levels in Tanzania in the abstract. My suggestion is that the author should bring the highlighted yellow to the topic too or remove it from the abstract.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct after a few adjustments to include updated sections.</p> <p>Avoid numbering the reference. You may need to learn more on (American Psychological Association) APA style of referencing. And be current in your literature.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>1. You may use the following structure of the article/Key organization Topic Abstract, 1.0 Introduction, Research problem, Objective of the Study, Scope of the study, Value of the Review</p> <p>2.0 Review of Literature 2.1 Theoretical Review 2.2 Empirical Review 3.0 Methodology - 4.0 Findings/Result 5.0 Conclusion- Summarize your findings. Avoid new arguments 6.0 Recommendations REFERENCES – APA or according to your institution guideline</p> <p>Review the section highlighted in yellow in the main document.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Dorothy Medza Tsuma |
| Department, University & Country | Management University of Africa, Kenya |