

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_113040
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of NPK on the Eco-physiological attributes of Thespesia populnea
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The year and location of experiment needs to be mentioned in the abstract.</p> <p>Main findings, results, and the significance of the findings are missing</p> <p>Key words are missing.</p> <p>In the introduction part kindly detail your specific research problem and problem statement.</p> <p>Review of literature need not be mentioned in the manuscript.</p> <p>The experiment was done in the year 2014-15. Why iks it being written so late?</p> <p>Material and method part is too lengthy.</p> <p>There is no need of mentioning the botanical features, Climate and Weather, Assessment of eco-physiological behavior etc. in Material and methods. The location has been mentioned twice.</p> <p>After the results part the rest highlighted portion should be included under the heading discussion and it needs to be shortened.</p> <p>Many of the references are very old . Please add the recent ones.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Needs major revision	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
--	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Monika Ray</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India</b>