

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_113609
Title of the Manuscript:	Energy and nutrient intakes of school-going Adolescents: Relationship with body dimensions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is important for the scientific community become most nutrient-intake studies on school-children are mainly centred on infants</p> <p>2. No. Alternative title: 'Energy and nutrient uptake of school-going adolescents in Upland and Riverine region, Nigeria: Relationship with body dimensions.</p> <p>3. Mediocre. There is no mention of the study area. Sub-headings were not supposed to appear in the write-up. Yes the sub-heading guides the author but it is supposed to be just a paragraph.</p> <p>4. Appropriate subsections were included. However, tables 1 and 2 mentioned under Results were placed at the end of each analysis. For easy reference, the table should put as soon as it is referred to in the text. -No hypothesis given</p> <p>5. Scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes sufficient and recent references</p> <p>- Under ethical approval, informed consent and assent were not highlighted since the study involved minors below 18 years of age</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	1.Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	-Clash of interest no indicated	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Beulah Mugangavari
Department, University & Country	Grace Christian Academy, South Africa